Maximizing Acceleration Capability of Magnetically Levitated Slice Motors
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Abstract – Over the last few years industry branches with a growing demand for high purity and contamination-free high-acceleration spin processes, such as biotechnology, chemical, pharmaceutical and semiconductor industry, have tightened their cleanliness specifications for the process environments. A recent trend to meet these specifications is to use magnetically levitated slice motors with air gaps being large enough to insert a process chamber wall, which assures the hermetical sealing of the process. The drive design of these motors is challenging due to the employed large air gaps and the demand for maximum acceleration capability. Separate design considerations for a non-saturating stator drive claw geometry and for an appropriate drive winding number have been proposed in the past, not considering the interdependencies of these two designs. This paper presents an overall optimization procedure yielding for minimal acceleration times of magnetically levitated slice motors for different speed ranges and verifies the considerations by measurements on a prototype system.

I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, a growing demand for high purity and contamination-free spin processes can be identified for biotechnology, chemical, pharmaceutical and semiconductor industry due to the rapidly increasing complexity and sensitivity of the process objects. Furthermore, process dependent conditions (process gas, gas pressure, temperature) have to be guaranteed for an optimal process result. These specifications can be ensured by encapsulating the revolving rotor by a hermetically sealed process chamber in combination with a contactless levitation method that can be provided by the use of active magnetic bearings (AMB). This type of bearing offers the advantage of almost unlimited life time, adjustable bearing parameters and wearless and lubrication-free operation, resulting in a high level of purity. For a big diameter-to-height ratio of the rotating device as it is the case for such applications, the technology of magnetically levitated slice motors [1]-[5] can be employed advantageously. These setups ensure a high level of compactness, which saves valuable space within the process equipment.

Considering the process specifications and the application areas of interest, three main motor requirements can be stated. First, the process dependent maximum rotation speed has to be reached. Secondly, the acceleration times between the process speeds have to be minimal in order to reduce the equipment’s operating costs, consequently demanding for a high torque capability. And last, the construction of a mechanically robust and chemically resistant chamber wall typically requires a large air gap. In Fig. 1 a common drive concept used for the applications at hand is depicted, illustrating a drive claw (forming a part of a drive phase) with concentrated windings, the process chamber wall and the interior of the rotor (with its permanent magnets and an iron ring providing the flux feedback path). Concentrated windings are usually utilized when the circumferential space has to be used efficiently while ensuring a low profile height, since they can be fitted into the gaps between the bearing claws, which ensure the levitation of the rotor [4].

The need for high torque up to high rotation speeds along with the large air gap asks for a high drive current in the concentrated windings, wherefore an appropriate design of the drive lamination stack is crucial for high acceleration drives. In [6] it has been shown that saturation effects reduce the effective torque and therefore increase the acceleration time $t_{acc}$. The authors proposed to implement simple L-shaped drive claws instead of conventional claws with expanded pole shoe tips [7], whereby saturation effects can be avoided effectively. However, saturation may still appear in L-shapes for certain drive claw dimensions together with certain levels of ampere-turns and degrade the effective torque, as will be shown in this paper.

Another aspect is the limitation of the torque for higher rota-
tional speeds by the drive coil inductance. Based on this fact, an optimal number of drive windings can be found for a certain speed range, as it has been done in [4]. However, the negligence of the before-mentioned dependency on the drive claw geometries with the associated saturation aspects may lead to a non-optimal or even erroneous design.

Therefore, in this paper an optimization procedure is developed that allows the optimal selection of the drive claw dimensions and the winding number in dependency on the speed range based on the general physical dependencies of the machine and on 3D-FEM simulations.

The limited circumferential space available in a magnetically levitated slice motor results in limited degrees of freedom during the drive claw design. A magnetically levitated 2-level motor, which was introduced in [3], is used in the following as an exemplary motor concept to visualize this issue and to prove the optimization results. This motor concept has the advantage of decoupled iron paths for the bearing force and motor torque generation, which allows an isolated view on the mechanisms in the stator claws. However, the optimization steps can be directly adapted for the motor in [4] and with the considerations of the influence of the bearing also for [1], [2] and [5].

The setup and the basic functionality of the magnetically levitated slice motor are introduced in section II. Subsequently, in section III the acceleration behavior and the resulting optimization parameters are described. The optimization procedure and its results are presented in section IV, whereby the experimental verification of the results is presented in section V.

II. EXEMPLARY MOTOR CONCEPT

The main components of the magnetically levitated 2-level motor [3] are depicted in the schematic top and side view in Fig. 2. This motor combines a two phase homopolar magnetic bearing and a two phase permanent magnet synchronous drive on two axially and circumferentially shifted height levels.

The bearing forces are generated contactless through the process chamber wall on the upper level of the motor. The axial displacement and the tilting of the rotor are passively stabilized by reluctance forces [1]. Since these axial stabilizing forces cause a destabilizing radial force [8], the radial displacement has to be controlled actively. With the aid of the bearing windings the air gap flux can be weakened or amplified, resulting in a rotor movement into the direction of the higher flux density. Both of the two bearing phases consist of two opposing bearing claws. In order to compensate all radial deflections of the rotor the bearing phases are arranged perpendicularly to each other. Due to the low height compared to the diameter this motor needs bearing units only at one axial level, which results in a very compact setup. However, in order to generate the necessary bearing forces a minimum circumferential space for the bearing units have to be ensured. This directly limits the available circumferential space for the drive claw, which is placed in between the bearing claws in order to keep the setup compact. For the sake of brevity a more detailed description of the magnetic bearing and the levitation mechanism is omitted, but the interested reader is referred to [3].

On the lower level of the motor the two-phase permanent magnet synchronous drive is situated. It consists of the drive claw including the drive windings and the permanent magnets located on the drive iron ring providing the flux feedback path (cf. Fig. 1). The permanent magnets are round-shaped and with alternating, diametrical magnetization in order to provide a sinusoidal-like flux density distribution in the air gap. The drive windings are fed with an appropriate current \( I_D \) that is kept in phase with the induced voltage \( U_{ind} \) through field oriented control. Each of the two drive phases consists of two opposing drive claws that are arranged in between the bearing claws. The drive claw has preferably an opening angle \( \varphi_D = 180° \text{el.} \) as depicted in Fig. 2. This leads to a maximum achievable torque as stated already in [3]. Since the rotor drive magnets always attract the iron of the drive claw independently of their magnetization direction, there exist priority positions of the rotor. The drive claw opening angle \( \varphi_D \) in combination with the priority positions demands for an additional 90° el. phase shift (in addition to the 90° mech. phase shift) between the two drive phases, because otherwise the rotor would lock in one of the priority positions and no torque could be generated.

This also guarantees a constant motor torque independently of the rotor position. Since the product of the in-phase sinusoidal induced voltage and the sinusoidal drive current (due to the field oriented control) results in a square sinus torque in the first drive phase. The additional 90° el. phase shift of the second drive phase creates a square cosine torque. Consequently,
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the sum of the single phase torques results in a constant torque independently of the rotor position.

\[
\sin^2(\omega \cdot t) + \cos^2(\omega \cdot t) = 1,
\]

(1)

The acceleration behavior and the influence of constructive parameters are explained in more detail in the next section.

III. ACCELERATION BEHAVIOR

The acceleration time \( t_{\text{acc}} \) of the motor can be expressed by

\[
t_{\text{acc}} = \frac{J}{k_{\text{ind}}} \left( \frac{2\pi}{60} \right)^2 \cdot \int_0^{n_{\text{R}}} \frac{1}{I_{D(\Theta)}} \cdot d\Theta
\]

(2)

with the rotors moment of inertia \( J \), the induced voltage factor \( k_{\text{ind}} \) the rotation speed \( n_{\text{R}} \) and the drive current \( I_D \) [4]. The induced voltage factor \( k_{\text{ind}} \) is defined by the ratio of the induced voltage \( U_{\text{ind}} \) and the rotation speed

\[
k_{\text{ind}} = \frac{U_{\text{ind}}}{n_{\text{R}}},
\]

(3)

which addresses the linear behavior of the induced voltage. The induced voltage \( U_{\text{ind}} \) can be described by

\[
U_{\text{ind}} \sim \frac{d\Phi_{\text{PM}}(I_D, \Theta_{el})}{d\Theta_{el}}
\]

(4)

with the permanent magnet flux \( \Phi_{\text{PM}} \) and the electrical rotation angle \( \Theta_{el} \).

Judging from (2) and (3) the aim of acceleration time minimization can be achieved if both factors \( U_{\text{ind}} \) and \( I_D \) are maximized. The induced voltage \( U_{\text{ind}} \) can be generally maximized by three methods.

Firstly, the induced voltage is directly proportional to the drive winding number \( N_{D}\) which should therefore be as large as possible in order to maximize \( U_{\text{ind}} \).

Secondly, due to possibly occurring saturation effects the magnitude of the induced voltage is influenced by the drive current \( I_D \). In [6] it was shown that the drive claw shape influences the affinity of the drive claws to go into saturation for certain levels of ampere-turn \( \Theta \), being defined as

\[
\Theta = N_D \cdot I_D.
\]

(5)

Especially for motors with concentrated drive windings, high acceleration requirements and large air gaps the conventional expanded pole shoe tips cause paths of reduced magnetic resistance similar to a magnetic shortcut, consequently lowering the effective torque. Here, the authors come to the conclusion that these paths can be effectively avoided in whole operating range when the L-shaped drive claw shown in Fig. 1 is used, given that a certain minimum cross-sectional area is provided. Both the drive claw height \( h_{\text{Claw}} \) and the claw width \( w_{\text{Claw}} \) increase the cross section of the bearing claw. Since the increase of the claw height is not fulfilling the demand for a compact setup (as the rotor height would have to be increased, too) the claw width \( w_{\text{Claw}} \) is the only parameter to be optimized in order to guarantee a non-saturating drive claw within the whole operating range. It has to be considered that if \( w_{\text{Claw}} \) is increased beyond the optimal width, the distance between the two pole shoes \( d_{\text{Claw}} \) (cf. Fig. 1) may get smaller than the magnetic air gap \( \delta_{\text{mag}} \). This causes a situation similar to a magnetic shortcut, which decreases the effective torque. Concluding from the saturation point of view the induced voltage \( U_{\text{ind}} \) can be maximized for an optimal drive claw width and a minimal ampere-turns value \( \Theta \), directly leading to minimal drive current \( I_D \) and drive winding number \( N_D \).

Thirdly, the induced voltage can be maximized, if the derivation of the permanent magnet flux \( \Phi_{\text{PM}} \) with respect to the rotational angle \( \Theta_{el} \) is maximized. The flux linkage, which is the permanent magnet flux lines that are embraced by the drive windings, can be maximized by increasing the cross section of the drive claw facing the drive permanent magnets. Again, due to the compactness restrictions this is preferably done by increasing the claw width \( w_{\text{Claw}} \).

For a maximum motor torque \( M_D \) also the drive current \( I_D \) has to be maximized. According to [4] the drive current amplitude \( \hat{I}_D \) can be expressed as

\[
\hat{I}_D = \frac{-U_{\text{ind}} \cdot R_D + \sqrt{(R_D + \omega^2 \cdot L_D)^2 U_{\text{DC}}^2 - \omega^2 \cdot L_D^2 \cdot U_{\text{ind}}^2}}{R_D + \omega^2 \cdot L_D},
\]

(6)

with the phase drive winding resistance \( R_D \), the drive phase winding inductance \( L_D \), the DC-link voltage \( U_{\text{DC}} \) of an inverter in full bridge configuration driving the bearing coil and with the electrical angular frequency \( \omega \). Basic ally, (6) addresses the current limiting effects of the induced voltage and the inductive voltage drop, which are both dependent on the rotation speed \( n_{R} = 60 \cdot \omega / (2\pi p) \) with the pole pair number \( p \). Additionally, the resistive voltage drop is included into (6) for the sake of completeness, but it has only a minor influence on the resulting drive current. Typical drive current characteristics in dependency of the rotation speed \( n_{R} \) are depicted in Fig. 3(a).

For low rotation speeds \( n_{R} \) the drive current is limited to the maximum output current of the power electronics \( I_{\text{PE, max}} \), since the influence of the induced voltage and inductive voltage drop is still low. The current starts to decrease at a certain rotation speed depending on the drive winding number. Therefore, in order to maximize the current, the induced voltage \( U_{\text{ind}} \) and the drive phase inductance \( L_D \) should be reduced.

However, according to (2), the acceleration time \( t_{\text{acc}} \) and consequently the torque depends on the reciprocal product of the drive current \( I_D \) as well as the induced voltage \( U_{\text{ind}} \) which demands for an increase of \( U_{\text{ind}} \). Hence, this opposing influence of \( U_{\text{ind}} \) demands for an optimization of the drive winding number in order to have a maximum torque. The dependence of the acceleration time \( t_{\text{acc}} \) on the drive winding number \( N_D \) for different rotation speeds \( n_{R} \) is depicted in Fig. 3(b). The fastest acceleration \( t_{\text{acc}} = 3.5 \text{ s} \) towards a rotation speed of \( n_{R} = 2000 \text{ rpm} \) can be accomplished by the employment of a drive winding number of \( N_D = 600 \). For lower rotation speeds smaller drive winding numbers would be more appropriate and the same applies inversely for higher rotation speeds. Hence, the minimization of the acceleration time as a measure for the drive torque has to be carried out also considering the rotation speed span \( \Delta n_{R} \), since it is shifting the optimization point of the
Fig. 4: Optimization procedure for maximum acceleration capability, showing three loops of parameter sweeping with 3D FEM simulation data being fed into the analytical machine model. Design. In order to maximize the overall acceleration capability an optimization considering the drive claw width $w_{\text{Claw}}$, the drive winding number $N_D$ and the rotation speed span $\Delta n_R$ has to be carried out. A description of this optimization routine is given in the next section.

IV. OPTIMIZATION ROUTINE

A three-loop optimization procedure as depicted in Fig. 4 was developed in order to determine the optimal constructive parameters (drive claw width $w_{\text{Claw}}$ and drive winding number $N_D$) for a minimal acceleration time $t_{\text{acc}}$ within a certain rotation speed span $\Delta n_R$. Since the flux distribution in the air gap is highly non-linear, an analytical derivation of the induced voltage and the drive inductance is imprecise. Therefore, as depicted in Fig. 4 the optimization procedure is fed externally by 3D-FEM simulation results of the simulated induced voltage constant $k_{\text{Uind,sim}}$ and the effective drive inductance $L_{\text{sim}}$ in dependency on $N_D$ and $w_{\text{Claw}}$.

In the first loop the drive current dependency of (6) is used in the dynamic machine model in order to solve the integral giving the resulting acceleration time $t_{\text{acc}}$. Hereby, the drive claw width $w_{\text{Claw}}$ and the rotation speed range $\Delta n_R$ are left constant. The repetitive variation of the drive winding number $N_D$ in a reasonable range results in a single curve of Fig. 5. Exemplarily, the optimal drive winding number $N_{D,\text{opt}} = 520$ for a minimal acceleration time of $t_{\text{acc}} = 3.5$ s during an acceleration up to 2000 rpm and drive claw width of $w_{\text{Claw}} = 25$ mm can be determined from Fig. 5. The second loop adds the variation of the rotation speed range $\Delta n_R$ into the optimization procedure, which delivers the array of curves shown in Fig. 5. For each of the curves, there exists an optimal winding number $N_{D,\text{opt}}$. Here, the tendency towards
lower $N_D$ for higher $\Delta n_R$ is already visible.

Finally, the variation of the drive claw width is implemented into the third loop. As a result, various curve arrays as in Fig. 5 are generated for different drive claw widths, where for each combination of $\Delta n_R$ and $w_{Claw}$ the optimal winding number can be determined. In Fig. 6 the resulting acceleration time for different drive claw widths is depicted, whereby each point is characterized by the optimal drive winding number $N_{D,opt}$. Generally, the drive claw with $w_{Claw} = 25$ mm shows the lowest acceleration times for the depicted speed ranges. Around this optimum the time difference is rather low, provided the corresponding optimal drive winding number is implemented. How ever, as stated before, the acceleration time rises rapidly for significantly smaller and larger values of $w_{Claw}$. This is due to the effect that small drive claw widths demand higher drive winding numbers due to the reduced coil flux linkage and the occurring saturation effects. For larger values of $w_{Claw}$ the magnetic resistance between the drive claws decreases, which augments the tendency for saturation of the drive claws and consequently lowers the effective torque. Additionally, the limited space between the pole shoes increases the current density in the wires of the drive winding, since for a given optimal drive winding number the diameter has to be reduced. In Fig. 6 this effect is addressed by the dotted lines defining different areas of current density. Hence, especially for the motor at hand and the targeted rotation speed span up to 2000 rpm drive claw widths larger than 30 mm seem inappropriate due to resulting high thermal stress of the drive windings.

From the results of the presented optimization procedure the drive claw width $w_{Claw} = 25$ mm with an optimal winding number $N_{D,opt} = 520$ was implemented in an experimental prototype. The next section presents the results of the experimental verification.

V. EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION

The laboratory prototype used for the experimental verification is depicted in Fig. 7 and the main parameters are summarized in Table 1. Due to the cost and work efforts involved with the manufacturing of a drive claw including the drive windings, only the optimal point for the specified rotation speed span of $\Delta n_R = 2000$ rpm is verified. Correspondingly, an
acceleration behavior of the motor is depicted in Fig. 8. Here, the rotation speed of $n_R = 2000$ rpm is reached within $t_{acc} = 3.5$ s. The comparison of this measurement with the optimal point depicted in Fig. 6 shows a very good accordance. This demonstrates that the method for the acceleration capability maximization is precise and applicable for the motors at hand.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper the maximization of the acceleration capability of magnetically levitated slice motors with large air gaps was investigated. An exemplary motor concept was used to show the acceleration capability limiting influence of the drive claw saturation and the inductive voltage drop over the drive winding especially for the use of concentrated windings. In the following, the drive claw width and the drive winding number were indentified as the constructional parameters that both have to be considered in order to reach an ultimately minimized acceleration time. For the maximization of the acceleration capability a three loop optimization routine considering these constructional parameters and that is fed externally by 3D finite element simulation results was described. The results of the optimization were presented and the experimental verification on a laboratory prototype showed a good match of the optimization and the measurement.
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