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SST Concept
Classical Transformer - Basics

- Magnetic Core Material
  * Silicon Steel / Nanocrystalline / Amorphous / Ferrite
- Winding Material
  * Copper or Aluminium
- Insulation/Cooling
  * Mineral Oil or Dry-Type

- Operating Frequency
  * 50/60Hz (El. Grid, Traction) or $16^2/3$ Hz (Traction)
- Operating Voltage
  * 10kV or 20 kV (6...35kV) - Distribution Grid MV Level ($u_{SC} = 4...6\%$ typ.)
  * 15kV or 25kV - Traction (1ph., $u_{SC} = 20...25\%$ typ.)
  * 400V - Public LV Grid

- Voltage Transf. Ratio
  * Fixed
- Current Transf. Ratio
  * Fixed
- Active Power Transf.
  * Fixed ($P_1 = P_2$)
- React. Power Transf.
  * Fixed ($Q_1 = Q_2$)
- Frequency Ratio
  * Fixed ($f_1 = f_2$)

- Magnetic Core
  Cross Section
  $$A_{Core} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{U_1}{B_{max}} \frac{1}{f N_1}$$

- Winding Window
  $$A_{Wdg} = \frac{2I_1}{k_w J_{rms}} N_1$$

Diagram with symbols and equations related to transformer parameters.
Classical Transformer - Basics

- Advantages
  - Relatively Inexpensive
  - Highly Robust / Reliable
  - Highly Efficient (98.5%...99.5% Dep. on Power Rating)

- Weaknesses
  - Voltage Drop Under Load
  - Losses at No Load
  - Sensitivity to Harmonics
  - Sensitivity to DC Offset Load Imbalances
  - Provides No Overload Protection
  - Possible Fire Hazard
  - Environmental Concerns
  - Construction Volume
  - No Controllability
  - Low Mains Frequency Results in Large Weight / Volume

\[ A_{\text{Core}} A_{\text{Wdg}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{P_t}{k_w J_{\text{rms}}} \hat{B}_{\text{max}} f \]

- \( P_t \) .... Rated Power
- \( k_w \) .... Window Utilization Factor (Insulation)
- \( \hat{B} \) .... Flux Density Amplitude
- \( J_{\text{rms}} \) .... Winding Current Density (Cooling)
- \( f \) .... Frequency
● Higher Relative Volumes (Lower kVA/m³) Allow to Achieve Higher Efficiencies
Classical / Next Generation Locomotives
**Classical Locomotives**

- **Catenary Voltage**: 15kV or 25kV
- **Frequency**: 16²/₃Hz or 50Hz
- **Power Level**: 1...10MW typ.

- **Transformer:**
  - **Efficiency**: 90...95% (due to Restr. Vol., 99% typ. for Distr. Transf.)
  - **Current Density**: 6 A/mm² (2A/mm² typ. Distribution Transformer)
  - **Power Density**: 2...4 kg/kVA
**Next Generation Locomotives**

- **Trends**
  - Distributed Propulsion System → Weight Reduction (pot. Decreases Eff.)
  - Energy Efficient Rail Vehicles → Loss Reduction (would Req. Higher Vol.)
  - Red. of Mech. Stress on Track → Mass Reduction (pot. Decreases Eff.)

---

Conventional AC-DC conversion with a line frequency transformer (LFT).

AC Catenary (15kV, 16½Hz or 25kV, 50Hz)

\[ AC_{LF} \rightarrow DC \]

AC Catenary (15kV, 16½Hz or 25kV, 50Hz)

\[ AC_{LF} \rightarrow AC_{MF} \]
\[ AC_{MF} \rightarrow DC \]

AC-DC conversion with medium frequency transformer (MFT).

- Replace Low Frequency Transformer by Medium Freq. (MF) Power Electronics Transformer (PET)
- Medium Freq. Provides Degree of Freedom → Allows Loss Reduction AND Volume Reduction
- El. Syst. of Next Gen. Locom. (1ph. AC/3ph. AC) represents Part of a 3ph. AC/3ph. AC SST for Grid Appl.
Advanced (High Power Quality) Grid Concept
- Heinemann (2001)

- MV AC Distribution with DC Subsystems (LV and MV) and Large Number of Distributed Resources
- MF AC/AC Conv. with DC Link Coupled to Energy Storage provide High Power Qual. for Spec. Customers
Future Ren. Electric Energy Delivery & Management (FREEDM) Syst.

- Huang et al. (2008)

- SST as Enabling Technology for the "Energy Internet"
  - Integ. of DER (Distr. Energy Res.)
  - Integ. of DES (Distr. E-Storage) + Intellig. Loads
  - Enables Distrib. Intellig. through COMM

- Bidirectional Flow of Power & Information / High Bandw. Comm. → Distrib. / Local Autonomous Cntrl
Smart Grid Concept

- Borojevic (2010)

- Hierarchically Interconnected Hybrid Mix of AC and DC Sub-Grids
  - Distr. Syst. of Contr. Conv. Interfaces
  - Source / Load / Power Distrib. Conv.
  - Picogrid-Nanogid-Microgrid-Grid Structure
  - Subgrid Seen as Single Electr. Load/Source
  - ECCs provide Dyn. Decoupling
  - Subgrid Dispatchable by Grid Utility Operator
  - Integr. of Ren. Energy Sources

- ECC = Energy Control Center
  - Energy Routers
  - Continuous Bidir. Power Flow Control
  - Enable Hierarchical Distr. Grid Control
  - Load / Source / Data Aggregation
  - Up- and Downstream Communic.
  - Intentional / Unintentional Islanding for Up- or Downstream Protection
  - etc.
Future Subsea Distribution Network – O&G Processing

- Devold (ABB 2012)

- Transmission Over DC, No Platforms/Floaters
- Longer Distances Possible
- Subsea O&G Processing

- Weight Optimized Power Electronics
SST Functionalities

- Protects Load from Power System Disturbance
  - Voltage Harmonics / Sag Compensation
  - Outage Compensation
  - Load Voltage Regulation (Load Transients, Harmonics)

- Protects Power System from Load Disturbance
  - Unity Inp. Power Factor Under Reactive Load
  - Symmetrizes Load to the Mains
  - Protection against Overload & Output Short Circ.

- Further Characteristics
  - Operates on Distribution Voltage Level (MV-LV)
  - Integrates Energy Storage (Energy Buffer)
  - DC Port for DER Connection
  - Medium Frequency Isolation → Low Weight / Volume
  - Definable Output Frequency
  - High Efficiency
  - No Fire Hazard / Contamination
**Terminology**

McMurray  
Brooks  
EPRI  
ABB  
Borojevic  
Wang  
etc.  

Electronic Transformer (1968)  
Solid-State Transformer (SST, 1980)  
Intelligent Universal Transformer (IUT™)  
Power Electronics Transformer (PET)  
Energy Control Center (ECC)  
Energy Router
Basic SST Structures

- Power Conversion
  - Three-Stage Power Conversion with MV and LV DC Link
  - Two-Stage Concept with LV DC Link (Connection of Energy Storage)
  - Two-Stage Concept with MV DC Link (Connection to HVDC System)
  - Direct or Indirect Matrix-Type Topologies (No Energy Storage)

- Realization of 3ph. Conversion
  - Direct 3ph. Converter Systems
  - Three-Phase Conn. of 1ph. Systems
  - Hybrid Combinations

- Handling of Voltage & Power Levels
  - Multi-Level Converters / Single Transf.
  - Cascading / Parallel Connection of Modules
  - Series / Parallel Connection of Semicond.
  - Hybrid Combinations

- Medium Freq. Required for Achieving Low Weight (Low Realiz. Effort) AND High Control Dynamics
Challenges of Semiconductor Control of Distribution-Class Devices

- Heydt (2010)
- Losses / Efficiency
- Reliability
- Insulation Coordination
- Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenomenon</th>
<th>Basic problem</th>
<th>Mitigation possibilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic impulse level – insulation</td>
<td>Voltage breakdown of typical semiconductor components may be problematic</td>
<td>• Use of voltage limiting devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coordination</td>
<td>(below distribution class voltages)</td>
<td>• Use lower distribution voltages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching losses</td>
<td>High power loss, proportional to switching frequency</td>
<td>Low loss switching strategies (e.g., zero voltage or zero current switching)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk resistive losses in semiconductors</td>
<td>$P$R loss in semiconductors</td>
<td>• Development of more suitable semiconductor materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of components</td>
<td>High cost of high power switches</td>
<td>• Use of low current configurations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling semiconductor components</td>
<td>Losses in semiconductor switches</td>
<td>• Oil and air cooled technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolation and safety</td>
<td>No ohmic isolation afforded by semiconductor switches</td>
<td>• Principle of &quot;insulation by isolation&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component lifetime</td>
<td>Loss of life due to heat</td>
<td>• Judicious use of circuit breakers to isolate circuits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Use a magnetic transformer for isolation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Better cooling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduce losses</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hybrid Approach of SST+Magnetic Transf. as Alternative to Pure SST Energy Flow Contr.
Remark

Volume / Weight Reduction & Efficiency Increase by Application of HT Superconductors
High Temp. Superconducting (HTS) LF Transformer for Rail Vehicles

- **Specifications**
  - 1MVA, 25kV/ 2x1389V, 50Hz, \( u_{sc} = 25\% \)
  - **Current Density** 21A/mm\(^2\)
  - **Cooling** 66K (Liquid Nitrogen)

- **SIEMENS / TU Darmstadt (2001)**

- Power Flow of Conv. Locomotives is Fully Controlled by 4QC → No SST Required for Control
- 99% Efficiency (Significant Loss Red. vs. Conv. Transf.) → Substantial Energy Saving
- 50% Smaller than Conv. Transformer
- No Fire Hazard / Contamination and Thermal Aging
High Temp. Superconducting (HTS) LF Transformer for Grid Applications

- Oak Ridge Nat. Lab. (ORNL) & Waukesha Electr. Systems & SuperPower (Manufacturer)
- Target 28MVA, 69kV/12.47kV-Class

- Low Losses
- Self Fault Current Limitation (SFCL) Function (No Active Control)
- To be Installed in South. Calif. Edison Utility Substation 2013
Basic SST Concepts

Matrix-Type AC/AC Converters

Indirect Converter Topologies
Electronic Transformer - McMurray 1968

- Matrix-Type $f_1 = f_2$

- Electronic Transformer = HF Transf. Link & Input and Output Sold State Switching Circuits
- AC or DC Voltage Regulation & Current Regulation/Limitation/Interruption
● 50% Duty Cycle Operation @ Primary and Secondary
● Output Voltage Control via Phase Shift Angle

Electronic Transformer - McMurray 1968

Matrix-Type $f_1 = f_2$
Electronic Transformer

- Matrix-Type $f_1 = f_2$

- Inverse-Paralleled Pairs of Turn-off Switches
Electronic Transformer

- Matrix-Type $f_1 = f_2$

- Fully Bidirectional / 4Q-Operation
- Direct and Seamless Transition between the Quadrants


**Electronic Transformer**

- **Matrix-Type** \( f_1 = f_2 \)

![Diagram of Electronic Transformer]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{e}_i & \quad \text{e}_M \\
\text{e}_o & \quad \theta = 0^\circ \\
\text{e}_o' & \quad \theta = 45^\circ \\
\end{align*}
\]

- **Harada (1996) Based on McMurray Patent**
**Electronic Transformer**

- Experimental Verification (200V/3kVA) of Basic Operation and Control Characteristic
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter

- Mennicken (1978, \( f = 200\text{Hz} \))
  I-Input, V-Output (McMurray)

- Targeting Traction Application
- Combination of Forced Commutated VSC & Thyristor Cycloconverter
- VSC Defines Transformer Voltage & Generates Thyristor Converter Commutation Voltage
- Energy Flow Defined by Control Angle of Thyristor Converter!
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter

- Mennicken (1978, \(f = 200\text{Hz}\))
  I-Input, V-Output (McMurray)

● Thyristor Converter
  Control Angle \(\alpha = \pi/3\)
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter

- Mennicken (1978, $f = 200\text{Hz}$)
  I-Input, V-Output (McMurray)

Thyristor Converter Control Angle $\alpha = \frac{2\pi}{3}$
**Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter**

- Experimental Verification (Switching Frequency $f = 200\text{Hz}$, $f_N = 16^2/3 \text{ Hz}$)
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter

- Östlund (1993)
  I-Input, V-Output (McMurray, Mennicken)

- Targeting Traction Applications
- Novel AC Current Control Concept for Mennicken Syst.
- Several Switchings of the VSC within Cycloconv. Cycle
- Lower Transformer Flux Level (Size) / Requires Transformer Flux Balancing Control
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter

- Östlund (1993)
  I-Input, V-Output (McMurray, Mennicken)

- Cascading of Primary Converters
- Reduction of Thyristor Blocking Voltage Stress
- Primary Winding Division for Sinusoidally Varying Staircase Voltage
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter

- Mennicken

- Kjaer et al. (2001)
- Norrga (2002)

- Extension of the Topology of Mennicken - VSC Capacitive Snubbers & Turn-off Cycloconv. Switches
- New Control Scheme Ensuring ZVS for the VSC and ZCS for the Cycloconverter (Matrix Conv.)
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter

- Commutation Cycle of the ZVS/ZCS Control Scheme Proposed by Norrga
- Alternate Commutation of VSC and CSC
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter

- Norrga (2002)
  I-Input, V-Output (McMurray, Mennicken)

- Voltage and Current Waveforms for $i_{ac}>0$
- Commutation of Cycloconverter Immediately after VSC Commutation
- Three-Level AC Output Voltage & Very Limited Power Flow Reversal
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter

- Norrga (2002)
  I-Input, V-Output

- VSC Quasi-Resonant Commutation Ensuring ZVS for Low Load (Current Insufficient for ZVS)
- Transformer Primary Winding Short Circuits by Cycloconverter During VSC Commutation
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter

- Norrga (2002)
  I-Input, V-Output

Simulation Results and Extension to MV Input (Norrga, 2002)
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter

- Ladoux (1998)
  I-Input, V-Output (McMurray, Mennicken)

- Targeting Traction Applications
- Dual Structure Association (VSC & CSC) & Phase Control & Dual Thyristor Control (ZVS)
- Soft Commutation of All Switches
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter

- Ladoux (1998)
  I-Input, V-Output (McMurray, Mennicken)

- Alternate Commutation of VSC and CSC → Natural Switching of CSI Dual Thyristors / Soft-Commut.
- Transformer Magnetizing Current for Supporting ZVS at Light Load
- Quasi-Resonant Commutation (Short Circuit of CSI during VSC Commutation)
- Simplified Control Scheme – Two Level Voltage $V_o$ vs. Three-Level Contr. (Norrga)
**Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/AC Converter**

- Enjeti (V-Input, V-Output, \( \theta = 0 \), 1997)
- Kimball (V-Input, V-Output, 2009)

- \( f_1 = f_2 \)
- Input Power = Output Power (and No Reactive Power Control)
- Same Switching Frequency of Primary and Secondary Side Converter
- Power Transfer / Outp. Volt. Contr. by Phase Shift \( \theta \) of Primary & Sec. Side Conv. (McMurray)
- \( \theta = 0 \) (shown) Allows to Omit Output Filter Ind. (V-Output), But does Not Allow Output Control
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/AC Converter

- Enjeti (V-Input, V-Output, $\theta = 0$, 1997)

- Realization of Matrix Stages with Conventional IGBT Modules
- Cascaded Converter Input Stages for High Input Voltage Requirement
- Single Transformer / Split Winding Guarantees Equal Voltage Sharing
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/AC Converter

- Kimball (V-Input, V-Output, 2009)

- $f_1 = f_2$
- Input Power = Output Power (and No Reactive Power Control)
- 1ph. AC/AC ZVS Dual Active Bridge (DAB) Converter (Voltage Impressed @ Inp. & Output)
- Power Transfer / Output Voltage Contr. by Phase Shift $\phi$ of Primary & Sec. Bridge Operation
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/AC Converter

- Kimball (V-Input, V-Output, 2009)

- ZVS Strategy
- ZVS Range Dependent on Load Condition & Voltage Transfer Ratio (Stray Ind. as Design Parameter)
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/AC Converter

- Yang (V-Input, I-Output, 2009)

- Topological Variation of the Basic 1ph. AC/AC DAB Topology
- Three-Level Input Stage, Center-Tap Secondary Winding Rectifier Stage
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/AC Converter

- Yang (V-Input, I-Output, 2009)

Six Conduction States within a Pulse Period
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter

- Drabek et al. (2011)
  V-Input, V-Output

- Traction Application
- MF Transformer with Splitted/Cascaded Primary Windings & Single Secondary Winding
- DAB Topology but Higher Secondary Side Switching Frequency for Current Control
- Natural Balancing of the Input Filter Capacitor Voltages
- 400Hz Multi-Step Commutation of Primary Side Matrix Conv.
- Conceptual Relation of Control Concept to Östlund (Prim.: 400Hz, Sek.: 2.5kHz)
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter
- Drabek et al. (V-Input, V-Output, 2011)

- Output Voltage Control via Current Amplitude / Phase Shift Controller Def. Inp. Current Phase Angle
- Hysteresis Contr. of VSR impresses 400Hz Ampl. Mod. Square Wave Current (def. Ampl. & Phase)
- Synchr. Switching (400Hz) Primary Matrix Stage Demodulates Transf. Current into Cont. Sinewave
Direct Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter

- Drabek et al. (V-Input, V-Output, 2011)

- Experimental Analysis
Indirect Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/DC Converter

- Weiss (I-Input, V-Output, 1985)

- AC/DC (Rectifier Bridge, No Output Capacitor) and Subsequent MF AC Voltage Generation
- Secondary Side Rectifier and DC/DC Boost Converter for Sinusoidal Current Shaping
- Switching Frequency $f = 400$Hz
► Indirect Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/AC Converter

- Lipo (V-Input, I-Output, 2010)

- AC/DC Input Stage (Bidir. Full-Wave Fundamental Frequ. GTO Rect. Bridge, No Output Capacitor)
- Subsequent DC/DC Conversion & DC/AC Conversion (Demodulation, $f_1 = f_2$)
- Output Voltage Control by Phase Shift of Primary and Secondary Side Switches (McMurray)
- Lower Number of HF HV Switches Comp. to Matrix Approach
Indirect Matrix-Type 1ph. AC/AC Converter

- Lipo (V-Input, I-Output, 2010)

- Multi-Step Commutation of GTO Input Stage (at Mains Voltage Zero Crossings)
- Commutation Considers DC Link Current Direction and Input Voltage Polarity
- Same Gate Signals for Diagonal Thyristors (G_1, G_3), (G_2, G_4), (G_5, G_7), (G_6, G_8)
**DC-Link Type (Indirect) 1ph. AC/AC Converter**

- **AC/DC – DC//DC – DC/AC Topologies**
- **Dual Act. Bridge-Based DC//DC Conv. (Phase Shift Contr. Relates Back to Thyr. Inv. / McMurray)**

- **Alternatives:**
  - AC//DC – DC/AC Topologies
  - AC/DC – DC//AC Topologies

(Ayyanar, 2010)
High-Power DC-DC Conversion
Dual-Active-Bridge (DAB)

- De Doncker (1991)

- Two Voltage Sources Linked by an Inductor
- Operated at Medium/High Frequencies
DAB – Common Bridge Configurations

- Half-Bridge Configuration
  - Two Voltage Levels from Each Side

- Full-Bridge Configuration
  - Three Voltage Levels from Each Side (Additional Freewheeling State)
DAB – Common Bridge Configurations

- Neutral-Point-Clamped (NPC) Configuration
  - Three-Voltage Levels from Each Side
  - Voltage-Doubler Behavior

- NPC / Full-Bridge Configuration
  - Suitable for Higher MV/LV Ratios
**DAB – Phase-Shift Modulation**

- Power Transfer Controlled through Phase-Shift between Bridges

![Diagram]

- Fundamental model suitable for Calculation of Power Transfer

\[
\frac{V_f^2}{\omega L} d \sin (\phi)
\]

Comparison of the output power versus \( \phi \), at \( d = 1 \), from the fundamental model and actual model.
DAB – Phase-Shift Modulation

- In a Certain Range, All Switching Transitions done in ZVS Conditions

- Soft Switching Range
DAB – Phase-Shift / Duty-Cycle Modulation

- Additional Degrees of Freedom can be Utilized to Optimize Targeted Criteria

- E.g. Minimize RMS Currents for Minimum Conduction Losses (ETH, Krismer, 2012)

- Not Possible in Half-Bridge Configuration
DAB – Triangular-Current Mode

Duty-Cycles and Phase-Shift Utilized to perform ZCS Switching

- Inductor Voltage

ZCS on MV Side
Three-Phase DAB

- De Doncker (1991)

- ZVS of All Devices within Certain Power Range
- ZCS Only Possible at One Operating Point

(a) Three-phase dual active bridge dc/dc converter, Topology C;
(b) idealized operating waveforms for topology C.
Half-Cycle Discont.-Cond.-Mode Series-Res.-Conv. (HC-DCM-SRC)

- Power Supplies for Robots – RWTH (Esser, 1991)

- Energy Transfer Through the Robot’s Arm Joints
Half-Cycle Discont.-Cond.-Mode Series-Res.-Conv. (HC-DCM-SRC)

- **Operating Principle:** Resonant Frequency ≈ Switching Frequency

- At Resonant Frequency, the Input/Output Voltage Ratio is Unity (Steigerwald, 1988)
● Output Voltage is $V_{LV} \approx V_{MV}\cdot n$ for Any Output Power
Half-Cycle Discont.-Cond.-Mode Series-Res.-Conv. (HC-DCM-SRC)

- LLC Structure to Reduce Switching Losses
- Zero-Current-Switching of All Devices
Half-Cycle Discont.-Cond.-Mode Series-Res.-Conv. (HC-DCM-SRC)

- ETH (Huber, 2013)

- Efficiency / Power-Density Optimization → Pareto Front

- Operating Frequency Used as Free Parameter

- HC-DCM-SRC is Suitable for Reaching High Efficiency

- Optimum $f_s$ for 99% Efficiency is 6...8 kHz
Three-Phase HC-DCM-SRC

- RWTH (Jacobs, 2005)

Possible Power Density/Efficiency Improvement + Red. DC Filtering
**AC/DC Converter with DAB**

- **KU-Leuven (Everts, 2012, presented for LV Applications)**

![Diagram of AC/DC Converter with DAB](image)

- **Direct MV-AC to LV-DC Conversion (No Constant Voltage MV-DC Link)**
ZCS/ZVS of IGBTs
**ZCS and ZVS of IGBTs**

- Analysis of IGBT Losses under ZCS Conditions for the TCM-DAB
- Tested on a NPC-3-Level Structure Based on 1.7kV IGBTs

**1.7kV PT IGBT Module-Based Testbench**

**NPC Bridge Leg Based on 1.7kV PT IGBTs Conn. to MF Transf. and LV Side Bridge**
Operation

NPC Bridge Applies Full Positive Voltage

As soon as the Current Reaches Zero, the NPC Bridge is Turned to Freewheeling, achieving ZCS on $S_1$
Operation

NPC Bridge Applies Full Positive Voltage

As soon as the Current Reaches Zero, the NPC Bridge is Turned to Freewheeling, achieving ZCS on $S_1$
Standard ZCS: MV → LV

- Large Current Spike Even at Zero Current
- Large Turn-on Losses on Turning-on Device

1.7kV IGBT NPC bridge

NPC Bridge Structure and Experimental Waveforms for 166kW / 20kHz and Power from MV to LV
Measurement of IGBT Stored Charge Behavior

- Exp. Measurement of Internal Charge
- Dynamic Behavior of Stored Charge

1.7kV IGBT Test Circuit for Charge Behavior Analysis

Experiment used to Study Stored Charge Dynamics (Ortiz, 2012)
Measurement of IGBT Stored Charge Behavior

- Field-Stop 1.7kV IGBT
- 62mm Package

Charge Control Equation to Estimate Charge Behavior

\[
\frac{dQ(t)}{dt} = -\frac{Q(t)}{\tau} + k_s \cdot i_s(t)
\]

Experimental Stored Charge Dynamic Analysis on 1.7kV FS IGBT
Measurement of IGBT Stored Charge Behavior

- **Field-Stop 1.7kV IGBT**
- **62mm Package**

\[ \frac{dQ(t)}{dt} = -\frac{Q(t)}{\tau} + k_s \cdot i_s(t) \]

- **Charge Control Equation to Estimate Charge Behavior**
- **Experimental Stored Charge Dynamic Analysis on 1.7kV FS IGBT and Resonant Sine Pulse**
Measurement of IGBT Stored Charge Behavior

Non-Punch-Through 1.7kV IGBT
SOT-227B Package

Summary of IGBTs’ Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Switch</th>
<th>Temperature $T_j$</th>
<th>$\tau$ (μs)</th>
<th>$k_s$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>120 °C</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>0.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPT</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPT</td>
<td>120 °C</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental Stored Charge Dynamic Analysis on 1.7kV NPT IGBT
► **Quasi ZCS and ZVS: MV → LV**

► **Low Turn-on Losses due to Low Switched Current**
► **Virtual Elimination of Turn-on Losses**

![1.7kV IGBT NPC Bridge](image)

![NPC Bridge Exp. Waveforms for QZCS/ZVS @ 166kW / 20kHz / 120°C and Power from MV to LV Side](image)
► Quasi ZCS and ZVS: Switched Current Sweep

► Minimum Losses around 40A @120°C and MV → LV
► Minimum Losses around 70A @120°C and LV → MV
► Total Reduction of ≈37% @120°C for MV → LV
► Total Reduction of ≈50% @120°C for LV → MV

► ZCS Losses for Both Power Flow Directions and 25°C & 120°C @ 166kW Transferred Power
Three-Phase SST Distribution System Applications

*Phase Modular / Direct 3ph. Concepts*
*Matrix / DC-Link Based Concepts*
*ISOP Converter Topologies*
*Example SST Projects*
*SST Concepts Employing LF Transformers*
3ph. SST Concepts

- Phase-Modular (3ph. Comb. of 1ph. Units) or
- Direct 3ph. Topologies

- Frequently 1ph. AC/3ph. AC Converter Topologies Analyzed Instead of Full 3ph. Systems
- Frequently Unidir. (MV→LV) Topologies Proposed/Analyzed Instead of Bidir. Systems

- 1ph. AC/3ph. AC Conv. Topologies are Directly Applicable for Traction Applications
Phase-Modular Direct Matrix-Type 3ph. SST Concepts

- Venkataramanan (2000)

- Only Interesting for Low-Voltage / Low-Power Applications
Partly Phase-Modular Direct Matrix-Type 3ph. SST Concepts

- Enjeti (1997)

- Steimel et al. (2002)

- Steimel:
  - Thyristor Cycloconv. Commut. Voltage Impressed by MV VSI (Mennicken, 1978)
  - Thyristor Recovery Time Limits Switching Frequency to $f_P \approx 200\text{Hz}$ ($\alpha = 150^\circ$)
  - Reactive Power Demand of the Thyristor Cycloconverter
  - Implementation of Cycloconv. with (Turn-Off) RB IGCTs (6.5kV) allows $f_P \approx 500\text{Hz}$

- Enjeti:
  - Three-Limb Core could be Employed for Realiz. of MF D-y-Transformer (Enjeti, 1997)
- Direct 3ph. Direct Matrix-Type 3ph. SST Concepts

- Venkataramanan (2000)

- No Energy Storage / DC Port
- Large Number of Power Semiconductors (24)
- Limited IGBT Blocking Capability does Not Allow MV Application of Basic Conv. Topology
Direct 3ph. Direct Matrix-Type 3ph. SST Concepts

- Mohan (2009)

- Reduced HV Switch Count (Only 2 HV Switches @ 50% Duty Cycle / No PWM)
- LV Matrix Converter Demodulates MF Voltage to Desired Ampl. / Frequency
- Switching CM Voltage Eliminated at Generator Terminals by Proper MC Control
Direct 3ph. Direct Matrix-Type 3ph. SST Concepts

- Mohan (2009)

- Equivalent Circuit of the Transformer for $SW_n$-on and $SW_n$-off and Input Phase $a$ Voltage of MC
- Clamp Circuit Sinks Energy Stored in the Leakage Inductance
- Clamp Voltage = 2 x Grid Line-to-Line Voltage
**Indirect Matrix-Type Direct 3ph. SST Concepts**

- Enjeti (2003)

- Formation of Transf. Voltage Involving all Phases \(a, b, c\) and Ensuring Balanced Flux
- Transformer Sec. Voltage Rectified into Fluctuating DC Link Voltage \(V_{dc}\)
- \(V_{dc}\) Converted into \(V_A, V_B, V_C\) by Space Vector PWM for Mains Current Control
DC-Link Based Direct 3ph. SST Topologies

- Lower Number of Switches (20) Comp. to Matrix Approach (24)
- Limited IGBT Blocking Capability does Not Allow MV Application of Basic Conv. Topology
**DC-Link Based Direct 3ph. SST Topologies**

- **M-Level Topology & HV IGBTs** for Incr. Input Voltage Capability (Front-End and DC/DC Conv.)
- **Current Doubler Rectifier** for Increasing Output Current Capability / Low Output Current Ripple
- **Bidirectional Extension** by Switches Antiparallel to Rectifier Diodes Possible (Snubber)
DC-Link Based Direct 3ph. SST Topologies


- Only Interesting for Low-Voltage / Low-Power Applications
DC-Link Based Direct 3ph. SST Topologies


- MV Side Series Direct Matrix Structure with Single 3ph. MF Transformer Core
- Single LV Side 2-Level 3ph. Inverter
DC-Link Based *Fully Phase Modular SST Topologies*

- Akagi (2005/2007)

- Application for MV Motor Drives Replacing the 50/60 Hz Transformer
DC-Link Based *Fully Phase Modular SST Topologies*

- Akagi (2005)

- Back-to-Back Connection of MV Mains by MF Coupling of STATCOMs
- Combination of Clustered Balancing Control with Individual Balancing Control
DC-Link Based *Partly Phase Modular SST Topologies* - van der Merwe (2009)

- SST Concept Without Accessible MV DC Bus
- Extension to Bidirectional Power Flow by Replacing the Passive Rectifiers with Active Systems
DC-Link Based *Partly Phase Modular SST* Topologies

- Steimel et al. (2002)

- Electronic Power Transformer for 110/20kV and 110/10kV Applications
- Truck Movable Temporary Replacement of Failed Conventional Transformer
DC-Link Based *Partly Phase Modular SST Topologies*

- Steimel et al. (2002)

- Configuration of Cells for 10kV and 20kV MV System
- Implementation of Soft-Switching DC/DC Module (Self Balancing of DC Link Voltages, Cable Transf.)
DC-Link Based *Partly Phase Modular* SST Topologies

- Steimel et al. (2002)

Multi-Loop Control Structure of the Electronic Power Transformer
Multilevel & Input Series Output Parallel (ISOP) SST Topologies

- Multi-Level or Cascaded H-Bridge Interfaces for MV Connection
- Parallel Connection of Modules on the LV Side for Distribution of High Output Current
- Low Total Input Voltage / Output Current Harmonics (Low Ind. Volume / Low Cap. Curr. Stress)
- Cascaded H-Bridges Preferable due to Voltage Balancing Problem and Scaling of ML Converters
Classification System for Multi-Level & Multi-Cell Power Converters

- Clare/Wheeler et al. (2001)

- Classification of Structures with HV (Side A) and MV (Side B) DC Link
- Nomenclature for Topological Arrangement

X, number of DC links on Side A (equal to number of Side A AC/DC bridge circuits)
Y, number of DC links on Side B (equal to number of Side B AC/DC bridge circuits)
L, number of HF transformers
M, windings per HF transformer (Side A)
N, windings per HF transformer (Side B)

- Structure of HF Transformer Defined by L,M,N

\[ M_L N = 111 \]

- Transformer Classification Independent of Number of DC Links
Classification System for Multi-Level & Multi-Cell Power Converters

- Structure of HF Transformer Defined by $L, M, N$

\[ M_L^N = 2^3 \]
\[ M_L^N = 16^1 \]

- Structure of the DC Links

\[ X^{M_L^N} = 4^{14^1} \]
\[ X^{M_L^N} = 2^{14^1} \]
\[ X^{M_L^N} = 1^{14^1} \]
Classification System for Multi-Level & Multi-Cell Power Converters

- Complete Converter Structures

\[ X^M L^N Y = 6^{2^3} 3 \]

\[ X^M L^N Y = 6^{3^2} 1 \]
UNIFLEX Project

- EU Project (2009)

- Advanced Power Conv. for Universal and Flexible Power Management (UNIFLEX) in Future Grids
- Cellular 300kVA Demonstrator of 3-Port Topology for 3.3kV Distr. System & 415V LV Grid Connection
UNIFLEX Project

- EU Project (2009)

- AC/DC-DC//DC-DC/AC Module (MF Isolation, 1350V DC Link) and Prototype @ Univ. of Nottingham
SiC-Enabled Solid State Power Substation

- Das (2011)

- Fully Phase Modular System
- Indirect Matrix Converter Modules ($f_1 = f_2$)
- MV $\Delta$-Connection (13.8kV, 4 Modules in Series)
- LV $Y$-Connection (465V/$\sqrt{3}$, Modules in Parallel)

- SiC Enabled 20kHz/1MVA “Solid State Power Substation”
- 97% Efficiency / 25% Weight / 50% Volume Reduction (Comp. to 60Hz)
The MEGACube @ ETH Zürich

- DC-DC Converter Stage
- Module Power: 166kW
- Frequency: 20kHz
- Triangular Current Mode Modulation

Structure of the 166kW Module and MV Side Waveforms
The MEGACube @ ETH Zürich

- **Total Power**: 1MW
- **Frequency**: 20kHz
- **Efficiency Goal**: 97%

- **MV Level**: 12kV
- **LV Level**: 1.2kV
The MEGACube - MOSFET-based LV Full-Bridge

- Power Rating: 55kW
- Estimated Losses: 0.31kW
- Based on Single TO-247 Devices
- Water-Cooled
The MEGACube - IGBT-Based LV Full-Bridge

- Power Rating 83kW
- Estimated Losses 0.9kW
- Based on ECONOual IGBT Module
- Water-Cooled

83 kW Water-Cooled LV Full-Bridge
Based on IGBT ECONOual Modules
The MEGACube - MV NPC Module

- Power Rating 166 kW
- Estimated Losses 3.1 kW
- Based on ECONO dual IGBT Module
- Water-Cooled

166 kW Water-Cooled MV NPC Module Based on ECONO dual IGBTs
The MEGACube - Air-Cooled Ferrite Core Transformer

- Power Rating 166 kW
- Estimated Losses (incl. Fan Power) 0.59 kW
- Forced-Air-Cooled
The MEGACube - Water-Cooled Nanocrystalline Transformer

- **Power Rating**: 166 kW
- **Estimated Losses**: 0.34 kW
- **Power Density**: 45 kW/dm³

166 kW Water-Cooled Nanocrystalline Core Transformer Resonant Cap. Directly Attached
The MEGACube 166kW / 20kHz Module

166kW / 20kHz TCM DC-DC Converter
400V LV-Side / 2kV MV-Side
The MEGACube - Resonant 166kW / 20kHz Converter

166kW / 20kHz HC-DCM-SRC DC-DC Converter
400V LV-Side / 2kV MV-Side
The MEGACube – Back-to-back Testbench

TCM DC-DC Converter

Resonant DC-DC Converter

Back-to-Back Arrangement

MEGACube Module

ca. 166kW

c. 8kW

2kV

400 V

Resonant Converter
The MEGACube – Back-to-back Testbench

▲ TCM DC-DC Converter

▲ Resonant DC-DC Converter
The MEGALink @ ETH Zürich

- 2-Level VSI on LV Side / HC-DCM-SRC DC-DC Conversion / Multilevel MV Structure
▶ Unidirectional DC-Link Based SST Structures

- Ronan et al. (2000)

- AC Input  7.2kV
- DC/DC  1000V/±275V
- AC Output  120V/240V

- ISOP Modular Topology
- Three-Stage (AC/DC-DC/DC-AC) Approach
Unidirectional DC-Link Based SST Structures

- EPRI (2009)

- AC Input 8.6kV (15kV_{L-N})
- DC/DC 3.5kV/400V
- AC Output 120V/240V

- 100kVA 15kV Class Intelligent Universal Transformer (IUT™)
- Development of HV Super GTO (S-GTO) as MV Switching Device / SiC Secondary Diodes
- 20kHz Series Resonant DC/DC Converter Utilizing Transformer Stray Inductance
Unidirectional DC-Link Based SST Structures

- EPRI (2009)

- **Outline of 100kVA (4x25kVA) IUT (Pole Mount Layout, 35”H 35”W 20”D, 1050 lbs)**
- **Natural Air Cooling / S-GTO Module (No Wire Bonds, 50kHz Switching Frequency Target)**
Unidirectional DC-Link Based SST Structures

- Enjeti (2012)

- SST Application for MV Adjustable Speed Drive (Unidirectional AC/AC Front End / 3L NPC Inverter)
- Avoids Bulky LF Transformer / DC Link and Mains Current Harmonics (Active Filter)
Unidirectional DC-Link Based SST Structures

- Enjeti (2012)

• SST Appl. for MV Adjustable Speed Drive (Unidir. AC/AC Front End / Cascaded 2L 1ph.-Inverters)
• Avoids Bulky LF Transformer / DC Link and Mains Current Harmonics (Active Filter)
Unidirectional DC-Link Based SST Structures

- van der Merwe (2009)

- 5-Level Series Stacked Unidir. Boost Input Stage
Full Power SST Employing LF Transformers

- Provides AC Voltage Regulation and Low Sensitivity to Harmonics
- Isolation Provided with LF Transformer (Not Shown)

- No 4-Quadrant Switches Required
- Isolation with LF Transformer (Not Shown)

Three Phase Buck Converter with input filters
Full Power SST Employing LF Transformers

- Derived from DC Buck Converter

- J. C. Rosas-Caro (2010)
Partial Power SST Employing LF Transformers

- P. Bauer (1997)

- Electronic Tap Changer of LF Transformer
- MV Winding with Power Electronic Switched Tap.
- Two Modes of Operation:
  - Single Tap Position (a)
  - PWM Modulated Tap (b)
Partial Power SST Employing LF Transformers

- Electronic Tap Changer – Complex Control Circuit
- Crowbar for Emergency Ride-Through
- Commutation Sequence of the 4-Quadrant Switches
Partial Power SST Employing LF Transformers

- Enjeti (2003)

- Controlled Output Voltage: $V_o = V_x + V_c$
- LF Isolation Transformer
Partial Power SST Employing LF Transformers

- Barbi (2006)

Controlled Output Voltage: \( v_o = v_i + \Delta v \)

Isolation Provided with LF Transformer (Not Shown)

\[
\frac{v_o}{v_i} = 1 - \frac{2D - 1}{n} \quad 2 \text{ levels} \quad \frac{v_o}{v_i} = 1 - \frac{D}{n} \quad 3 \text{ levels}
\]
Partial Power SST Employing LF Transformers

- Shmilovitz (2011)

- K2 and K3 = ON

\[ V_{ll-motor} = \sqrt{1 - k + k^2} \cdot V_{line} \]

- K1 and K4 = ON

\[ V_{ll-motor} = (1 - k) \cdot V_{line} \]

- K1 and K3 = ON

\[ V_{ll-motor} = \sqrt{1 - 3k + 3k^2} \cdot V_{line} \]

- Reconfigurable Auto-Transformer
- Switches K1, K2, K3 and K4 Used to Modify Output Voltage
Partial Power SST Employing LF Transformers

- Bala (ABB 2012)

- Reactive Power Compensation (PFC, Active Filter, Flicker Control)
- Available DC Port (Isolated in Option 1a)
- Option 2: Controlled Output Voltage
Partial Power SST Employing LF Transformers

- Bala (ABB, 2012)

- Commercial Product (ABB)
- Direct Connection of Input to Output (Bypass) or
- Compensation of Input Voltage Sag (Contr. Output Voltage)
SST Concepts for Traction Applications

Railway Systems Voltage/Freq.  Modern Railway Systems’ Requirements  SST Concepts for Traction
Electric Railway Systems – A Little History

- Siemens Electric Railway – Werner von Siemens (1879)
- Speed: 7km/h - Power: 2.2 kW - Length: 300m
Electric Railway Systems – A Little History

Electrification of European Railways – Steimel (2012)

- 16 2/3 Hz / 15kV AC - (1912)
- 3kV DC and 1.5kV DC - (1920)
- 50Hz / 25kV AC - (1936)

Network line lengths and proportion of electrical railway systems (2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
<th>Proportion (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DC 1500 V</td>
<td>15,320 km</td>
<td>6.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC 3000 V</td>
<td>72,105 km</td>
<td>30.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC 15 kV/16⅔ Hz</td>
<td>32,390 km</td>
<td>13.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC 25kV/50 (and 60) Hz</td>
<td>106,437 km</td>
<td>44.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>11,350 km</td>
<td>4.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>237,600 km</td>
<td>100.0 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

≈ 6 Turns Around the Earth
Electric Railway Systems – Today’s Drive Scheme

- 16.7Hz 1ph.-Transformer Required to Step-Down the Catenary Voltage to the Drive’s Operating Voltage

Low Frequency Transformer
- 15% Weight of Locomotive
- e.g. for 2MW ca. 3000kg
- 90-92% Efficiency
Trends in Modern Railway Systems

- Electric Multiple Units (EMUs) - e.g. Under-Floor Mounted
- Weight Reduction
- Energy Efficient Railways

AC Catenary (15kV, 16¾Hz or 25kV, 50Hz)

AC-DC conversion with medium frequency transformer (MFT)

All Goals Lead to a Medium-Frequency Isolation / Conversion Syst. (Dujic 2011)
VSI Commutated Primary Converter

- Menniken (1978)
- Östlund (1992)

PET topology with source commutated primary converter
Cascaded VSI Commutated Primary Converter

- Hugo (ABB, 2006)
- Pittermann (2008)

PET topology with cascaded source commutated primary converters
Cascaded Source Commutated Primary Converter

- Pittermann (2008)
- Module Power: 2kW (downscaled)
- Frequency: 800Hz
Cascaded Source Commutated Primary Converter

- Hugo (ABB, 2006)
  - Total Power: 1.2MVA/15kV
  - Module Power: 75kW
  - Frequency: 400Hz
Cascaded H-Bridges with Resonant/Non-Resonant DC-DC Stages

- Steiner (Bombardier, 2007)
- Weigel (SIEMENS, 2009)

PET topology with cascaded H-bridges and resonant/non-resonant DC-DC stages.

Dynamic behavior of DC-DC converter

- \( P_{\text{Load}} = 700\text{kW} \)
- \( P_{\text{DCDC}} = 350\text{kW} \)
- 100A/div
- 200μs/div
- \( U_{\text{DC}} = 2\text{kV} \)
Cascaded H-Bridges with Resonant/Non-Resonant DC-DC Stages

- **Weigel (SIEMENS, 2009)**
  - Module Power: 450kW
  - Frequency: 5.6kHz

- **Steiner (Bombardier, 2007)**
  - Module Power: 350kW
  - Frequency: 8kHz
Cascaded H-Bridges with Multi-Winding MF Transformer

- Engel (ALSTOM, 2003)

PET topology with cascaded H-bridges and multi-winding MFT
Cascaded H-Bridges with Multi-Winding MF Transformer

- Engel (ALSTOM, 2003)
  - Module Power 180kW
  - Frequency 5kHz
Cascaded H-Bridges with Multi-Winding MF Transformer

- Taufiq (ALSTOM, 2007)
  - Module Power: 180 kW
  - Frequency: 5 kHz
Modular Multilevel Converter

- Marquardt/Glinka (SIEMENS, 2003)

PET topology using M2LC converter
Modular Multilevel Converter

- Marquardt/Glinka (SIEMENS, 2003)

- Module Power  270kW
- Module Frequency  350Hz
Cascaded H-Bridges and Resonant LLC DC-DC Stages

- Zhao et al. (ABB, 2011)

PET topology with cascaded H-bridges and resonant (LLC)DC-DC stages
Cascaded H-Bridges and Resonant LLC DC-DC Stages

- Zhao et al. (ABB, 2011)

Assembled Converter
- Module Power  170 kW
- Frequency      2kHz

MV Module

LV Module
SST Design Remarks

Current Ratings
Cooling Considerations
MF Transformer Design
Flux Balancing
Current Ratings – Overcurrent Requirements

- **MV Transformers** must Provide Short-Circuit Currents of up to 40 Times Nominal Current for 1.5 Seconds (EWZ, 2009)

- **Traction Transformers**: 150% Nominal Power for 30 Seconds (Engel 2003)

- **Power Electronics**: Very Short Time Constants!
Grid Harmonics and EMI Standards

- Medium Voltage Grid Considered Standards (Burkart, 2012)
  - IEEE 519/1547
  - BDEW
  - CISPR

- Requirements on Switching Frequency and EMI Filtering
Semiconductor Cooling and Isolation

- **1.7kV IGBTs** → Semiconductor Modules on Coldplates/Heatsinks Connected to Different Potentials (CM Voltage Problems)

- **3.3kV or 6.5kV IGBTs** → Isolation Provided by the Modules’ Substrate, No Splitting of the Cooling System Necessary.

- Hoffmann (2009)
MF Transformer Design – Cold Plates Cooling

- Heat Conducted from Inner Parts (Winding/Cores) to Outer Actively Cooled Coldplates

- Pavlovsky (2005)
**MF Transformer Design – Water Cooling**

- Hollow Aluminum Conductor with Forced Water Cooling
- Isolation: De-Ionized Water or MIDEL

- Hoffmann (SIEMENS, 2011)
- Heinemann (ABB, 2002)
MF Transformer Design – Cold Plates/ Water Cooling

- Nanocrystalline 160kW/20kHz Transformer (ETH, Ortiz 2013)

- Combination of Heat Conducting Plates and Top/Bottom Water-cooled Cold Plates
- FEM Simulation Comprising Anisotropic Effects of Litz Wire and Tape-Wound Core
MF Transformer Design - Isolation

- Specially Designed Isolated Housing for High Isolation to Ground

- Steiner (Bombardier, 2007)
MF Transformer Design - Isolation

- Glass-Fiber Container
  Engel (ALSTOM, 2003)
MF Transformer Design – Acoustic Noise Emissions

- Magnetostriction of Core Materials (Zhao, 2011)
  - Nanocrystalline ~ 0ppm
  - Amorphous ~ 27ppm

- Other Influences from Production Processes, Shapes and Assembly Procedures Affect the Emitted Noise

- Acoustic Noise Emitted at $2 \cdot f_s$ (!)
MF Transformer Design – Winding Arrangements

- **Coaxial Cable Winding**
  - Extremely Low Leakage Inductance
  - Reliable Isolation due to Homog. E-Field
  - Low Flexibility on Turns Ratio
  - Complex Terminations

- **Heinemann (2002)**
MF Transformer Design – Winding Arrangements

- Coaxial Windings
  - Tunable Leakage Inductance
  - More Complex Isolation
  - Total Flexibility on Turns Ratio
  - Simple Terminations

- Hoffmann (2011)

- Steiner (2007)
Flux Balancing - DC Magnetization

- Higher Losses
- Overcurrents
- Audible Noise

- Diff. Turn-on/Turn-off Times

- Diff. Switch On-Characteristics
Flux Density Transducer – The Magnetic Ear

- Shared Magnetic Path between Main and Auxiliary Core
- Change in Inductance on the Auxiliary Core is Related to the Magnetization State
Flux Density Transducer – The Magnetic Ear

Compensation Network to Decouple Main and Auxiliary Flux

Interleaved Operation for Maximum Bandwidth (ETH/Ortiz, 2013)
Flux Density Transducer – The Magnetic Ear

- Transducer Output for Biased Magnetic Operation
- Closed Loop Response
  - Reference Step
  - Disturbance Rejection
Conclusions

SST Limits / Application Areas
Optimization Potential
Future Research Areas
General Remarks
Technology Hype Cycle

- Different State of Development of SSTs for Smart Grid and Traction Applications

Through of Disillusionment
SST Limitations – Application Areas

- SST Limitations
  - Efficiency (Rel. High Losses 3-6%)
  - High Costs (Cost-Performance Ratio still to be Clarified)
  - Limited Volume Reduction vs. Conv. Transf. (Factor 2-3)
  - Limited Overload Capability
  - (Reliability)

Potential Application Areas

- Applications for Volume/Weight Limited Systems where 3-4 % of Losses Could be Accepted
  - Traction Vehicles
  - UPS Functionality with MV Connection
  - Temporary Replacement of Conv. Distribution Transformer
  - Parallel Connection of LF Transformer and SST (SST Current Limit – SC Power does not Change)
  - Military Applications
► Application Areas → SST Advantages /Weaknesses

- **Traction - LF Transf. vs. SST**
- **Distribution - LF Transf. vs. SST**
Main SST Optimization Potential

- Cost & Complexity Reduction by Functionality Limitation (e.g. Unidirectional Power Flow)

Future Research Topics

- Insulation Materials under MF Voltage Stress
- Low Loss High Current MF Interconnections
- MF Transformer Construction featuring High Insulation Voltage
- Thermal Management (Air and H₂O Cooling, avoiding Oil)
- “Low” Voltage SiC Devices for Efficiency Improvement

- Multi-Level vs. Two-Level Topologies with SiC Switches → “Optimum” Number of Levels
- Multi-Objective Cost / Volume /Efficiency Optimization (Pareto Surface)
- SST Protection (e.g. Overvoltage)
- SST Reliability

- Hybrid (LF // SST) Solutions
- SST vs. FACTS (Integration vs. Combination of Transformer and Power Electronics)
- System-Oriented Analysis → Clarify Benefits on System Level (Balancing the Low Eff. Drawback)
Future Research Topics

Done!

To be Done...
Overall Summary

- SST is NOT a 1:1 Replacement for Conv. Distribution Transformers
- SST will NOT Replace All Conv. Distribution Transformers (even in Mid Term)
- SST Offers High Functionality BUT shows also Several Weaknesses / Limitations

\[\Rightarrow\] SST Requires a Certain Application Environment (until Smart Grid is Fully Realized)
\[\Rightarrow\] SST Preferably Used in LOCAL Fully SMART EEnergy Systems

  @ Generation End (e.g. Nacelle of Windmills)
  @ Load End - Micro- or Nanogrids (incl. Locomotives, Ships etc.)

\[\Rightarrow\] Environments with Pervasive Power Electronics for Energy Flow Control (No Protection Relays etc.)
\[\Rightarrow\] Environments which Could be Designed for SST Application

- “SST” is NOT AT ALL Clearly Reflecting the Actual Functionality \[\Rightarrow\] EEnergy Router (?)
Thank You!
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