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Abstract—Frequency controlled Inductive Power Transfer (IPT) systems for Electric Vehicle (EV) battery charging applications often suffer from high power losses in partial-load, because the transmitter coil current is not significantly reduced at low output power. Therefore, in this paper a novel control method is presented that exhibits a substantially higher partial-load efficiency, while it also enables full control of the power semiconductor switching conditions. The power flow control is based on the dynamic regulation of the dc-link voltages on both sides of the resonant system with dc-de-converters. Additionally, a tracking of the resonance with a current zero crossing detection circuit and a PLL makes the switched current an additional degree of freedom, that can be used, e.g., for the minimization of IGBT soft-switching losses due to stored-charge. All calculated results are supported by experimental measurements on an existing 5 kW/52 mm air gap/210 mm coil diameter prototype system with an efficiency of more than 96.5% at maximum power and above 96% down to 20% rated power.

I. INTRODUCTION

Inductive Power Transfer (IPT) is widely discussed as a battery charging technology for Electric Vehicles (EV), because of the considerable simplification of the charging process due to the contactless transmission of the charging energy. It has been shown in recent publications that with an appropriately designed system a dc-to-dc efficiency comparable to conventional chargers with direct electrical connection can be achieved [1]-[4]. However, additional requirements for the battery charging system arise from the employed battery technology, which have not yet been fully addressed in literature on IPT systems.

For an efficient and fast charging of the lithium-ion batteries, which are commonly used in modern EVs, because of their high energy density, Constant Current/Constant Voltage (CC/CV) charging profiles similar to Fig. 1 are typically used. Hence, a substantial part of the charging process does not require the full output power from the converter, especially when the State-of-Charge (SoC) is close to the allowed maximum and the current must be reduced to protect the cells from over-charging. Therefore, apart from the efficiency at the nominal point, for a battery charging system also the efficiency in partial-load is of importance. For IPT charging systems with vehicle-to-grid capabilities [4]-[6] the efficiency in partial-load is even more important to make bi-directional energy exchange with the grid financially and ecologically attractive. However, the optimization of a resonant converter system, such as an IPT system, for more than one operating point is highly challenging. Depending on the used control method, reactive currents in the IPT coil windings cause high losses in partial-load which reduce the efficiency dramatically. Therefore, in this paper it is shown that by dynamically adapting the dc-link voltage to the load conditions with two additional dc-de-converters on both sides of the IPT link, the efficiency can be increased substantially.

In a practical IPT system additional requirements for the control arise from parameter uncertainties. Apart from coil misalignment, which is widely discussed in literature, also component tolerances and temperature drift are influencing the voltage gain and the resonant frequency of an IPT system. The control method presented in this paper incorporates an automatic tracking of the resonant frequency and dynamic compensation of the varying gain. As an additional feature, the novel control method provides full control over the switching conditions of the transmitter-side power semiconductors. This is particularly important for IPT battery charging systems employing IGBTs as switches to transmit power in the range of several tens of kilowatts. Due to the stored charge of IGBTs [7]-[10] high soft-switching losses occur despite close-to-zero current switching. Because the current at the turn-off instant of the IGBT is a degree of freedom of the presented control method, it enables highest efficiencies for high-power IPT battery charging systems.

The paper is divided into five sections: in Section II insight into the design of a 5 kW IPT system with a focus on controllability is given. Existing control methods are discussed in Section III and a novel control method is presented in Section IV. A comparison of the described existing and the novel control method based on experimental measurements is included. The improved performance of the novel control method is achieved at the cost of additional volume and losses of an additional dc-de-converter. Therefore, the efficiency requirements and design aspects for the dc-de-converter are discussed in Section V. Concluding remarks are given in Section VI.

II. IPT SYSTEMS FOR BATTERY CHARGING

In [2], [3], the design of a 5 kW/52 mm air gap/210 mm coil diameter IPT system with a dc-to-dc efficiency of 96.5% was presented. The main specifications of the IPT prototype are given in Tab. I. This system is also used for the experiments in this paper. Therefore, in this section a brief overview of the control-related design aspects of the system is given and requirements for an IPT controller are derived.

A. Design Rules for SS-Compensation

As discussed in [3], the design rules for a series-series compensated IPT system as shown in Fig. 2(a) for maximum transmission...
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Fig. 2. (a) Equivalent circuit diagram of a series-series compensated IPT system; (b) transfer function from the input to the output dc-link voltage and (c) phase angle of the input impedance of a system designed according to the design rules of [3] and for a system with parameters that were modified with \(m_{ps} = 0.75\) to avoid pole-splitting; (d) total loss factor \(P_{loss}/P_2\) as a function of the factor \(m_{ps}\).

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Var.</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(P_2)</td>
<td>5000 W</td>
<td>output power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(U_{1,dc})</td>
<td>400 V</td>
<td>transmitter-side dc-link voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(U_{2,dc})</td>
<td>350 V</td>
<td>receiver-side dc-link voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(U_{batt})</td>
<td>350 V</td>
<td>battery voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\delta)</td>
<td>52 mm</td>
<td>air gap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_c)</td>
<td>210 mm</td>
<td>coil diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f_0)</td>
<td>100 kHz</td>
<td>transmission frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)</td>
<td>1.47 kW/dm(^2)</td>
<td>power density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\eta)</td>
<td>96.5%</td>
<td>max. dc-to-dc efficiency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

efficiency at the angular resonant frequency \(\omega_0 = 2\pi f_0\) are

\[
\omega_0 L_2 \approx \frac{R_{L,eq}}{k_0} \quad \text{(1)}
\]

for the reactance of the receiver coil and

\[
L_1 = L_2 \left( \frac{U_{1,dc}}{U_{2,dc}} \right) ^2 \quad \text{(2)}
\]

for the transmitter coil self-inductance, where \(k_0\) denotes the magnetic coupling at the nominal position of the coils. This design ensures an optimal matching of the receiver coil to the equivalent resistance of the load at the nominal point, which is given by

\[
R_{L,eq} = \frac{8}{\pi^2} \frac{U^2_{2,dc}}{P_2} \quad \text{(3)}
\]

for a diode rectifier with a capacitive output filter [11].

The resonant frequency is set by choosing the capacitances for the transmitter and the receiver side resonant compensation as

\[
C_1 = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 L_1} \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 L_2} \quad \text{(4)}
\]

These design rules are discussed in detail and experimentally verified in [3]. The focus of this paper is the controllability of the system. Therefore, in the next part, the transfer characteristics are discussed and it is shown how they are influenced by capacitor tolerances and temperature drift. Based on the discussion, requirements for a controller are derived.

### B. Transfer Characteristics

The transfer function from the input to the output dc-link voltage is shown in Fig. 2(b). For a system design based on the guidelines above, a pole-splitting occurs and the voltage transfer function exhibits the two peaks that are characteristic for this phenomenon [12], [13]. At the same time, the phase angle of the input impedance seen from the transmitter-side shown in Fig. 2(c) has a saddle point at the resonant frequency.

These transfer characteristics are undesired, because they cause

i) hard-switching of the transmitter-side power semiconductors during operation close to the resonant frequency

ii) non-monotonous transfer behavior that may lead to instability of a variable frequency controller

Power losses due to hard-switching (i) of the power semiconductors are of highest concern. Because of the small (or even negative) phase angle between voltage and current at the transmitter-side terminals of the resonant circuit, the current at the switching instants of the power semiconductors can be insufficient to completely discharge the output capacitance of the MOSFET that is turned on. Therefore, Zero Voltage Switching (ZVS) is no longer achieved, because the remaining charge in the output capacitance is dissipated in the MOSFET during the turn-on transition. If IGBTs are used instead, the soft-switching losses due to the stored charge in the junction [8]–[10] similarly impair the performance of the converter, as is discussed in more detail in Section IV.

As shown in later parts of this paper, typically the frequency characteristic of the resonant circuit is exploited to regulate the power flow in the IPT system. In this case, the non-monotonous shape of the voltage transfer function (ii) may cause instability of conventional PID-controllers or could cause convergence to an undesired operating point far above the resonant frequency.

For these reasons a pole-splitting is best avoided by the design process. However, as discussed in [12], for the series-series compensated system a pole-splitting occurs as soon as the magnetic coupling is higher than the limit value

\[
k_{lim} = \frac{R_{L,eq}}{\omega_0 L_2} \quad \text{(5)}
\]

Unfortunately, this coincides with the design rule (1) that is required to reach the physical maximum transmission efficiency. Hence, a pole-splitting can only be avoided at the cost of additional losses in the IPT coils.

A straight-forward solution is to avoid a pole-splitting by reducing the self-inductance of the receiver coil by a factor \(m_{ps}\) below the optimum value

\[
L'_2 = m_{ps} L_2, \quad \text{(6)}
\]
The resonant capacitances are adapted to the corrected self-inductance which increases the limit value $k_{lim}$ by $m_{ps}^{-1}$. In order to provide the same voltage transfer ratio at the resonant frequency, \[ |G_v(\omega_0)| = \frac{U_{2,dc}}{U_{1,dc}} = \frac{R_{Leq}}{\omega_0 L_h}, \] the mutual inductance $L_h$ must remain constant. This is achieved by adapting the self-inductance of the transmitter coil as $L_h' = L_1/m_{ps}$. The resonant capacitances are adapted to the corrected self-inductance values according to (4). The resulting voltage transfer function and the phase angle of the input impedance for $m_{ps} = 0.75$ are shown in Fig. 2(b)-(c).

The price that has to be paid for the improved controllability and reduced switching losses can be calculated as a function of the factor $m_{ps}$ from the total loss factor $P_{loss}/P_2$ given in [1], [3], which is shown in Fig. 2(d). Owing to the relatively flat minimum of the total loss factor, a correction with $m_{ps} = 0.75$ causes only few additional losses and is, therefore, acceptable.

Note that the pole-splitting may occur either if the magnetic coupling becomes larger than $k_{lim}$, e.g., because the IPT coils are placed closer together, or if the equivalent load resistance $R_{Leq}$ becomes low enough that $k_{lim}$ increases until it exceeds the magnetic coupling. Because a low equivalent resistance corresponds to a high output power (or a low receiver-side dc-link voltage), the IPT system has to be designed with the discussed design rules for the maximum expected magnetic coupling and the maximum output power. Then, even if during operation the magnetic coupling is reduced below the nominal value or if the output power is decreased, no pole-splitting will occur.

C. Requirements for the Control

The key requirement for an EV battery charging system is a tight control of the battery current according to a CC/CV charging profile similar to Fig. 1. Additionally, the battery temperature and the SoC must be constantly monitored and the applied current and voltage must be limited in order to prevent damage of the battery, due to excessive heat generation or over-charging. Preferably, these tasks are mainly executed on the receiver side of the IPT system, because this allows shorter reaction times than what could be achieved via a wireless communication across the air gap.

The task of the transmitter is to operate the IPT system under optimum conditions and the supply of the charging power. For lowest switching losses in the transmitter-side power electronics, a controller for the modified IPT system must be able to guarantee operation in the inductive region of the input impedance (cf. Fig. 2(e)). However, the actual resonant frequency is an uncertain parameter which needs to be determined by the control in real time.

For an industrially produced IPT system, the effect of capacitance tolerances shown in Fig. 3(a) has to be considered. Given (4), a mis-match in capacitance has an effect on the resonant frequency. However, also the voltage gain (7) is considerably affected if the transmitter-side and receiver-side resonant circuits are no longer tuned to the same frequency. Additionally, Fig. 3(a) shows that depending on which capacitor is inflected by production tolerances, the voltage gain may be increased or reduced. Thus, a control margin in both directions must be included in the design.

Fig. 3(b) shows an estimate of the influence of the operating temperature on the resonant frequency and the voltage gain. To model the effect of temperature drift, the temperature dependency of typical core material (N87) and of polypropylene film capacitors with metallized plastic film (MKP) have been approximated based on the data given in [14], [15]. A temperature coefficient of 0.0039 K$^{-1}$ was assumed for the resistivity of the copper litz-wire. A finite element simulation with FEMM\textsuperscript{1} was used to determine the self-inductances and the magnetic coupling for the prototype coil used in the experiments. An influence of the operating temperature on the IPT system can be observed, but it is small when compared to the effect of capacitance tolerances. This can be explained by the large air gap which mainly determines the reluctance of the arrangement, while the permeability of the core material has only a small influence. Additionally, the employed MKP film capacitors exhibit a comparably small temperature variance, while other types, e.g., MKN or MKT, would lead to a higher change in capacitance for increased temperatures.

III. EXISTING CONTROL METHODS

A block diagram of a typical IPT battery charging system is shown in Fig. 4(a). A grid rectifier with Power Factor Correction (PFC) is used to regulate the dc-link voltage $U_{1,dc}$, from which the IPT system is supplied. The IPT system is shown schematically in Fig. 4(a) as an inverter, a resonant circuit, and a rectifier on the receiver side. At the output of the rectifier, typically a dc-dc-converter is connected, which is used to control the battery current. An output filter is needed between the output of the dc-dc-converter and the battery to reduce the switching frequency ripple. For the regulation of the receiver-side dc-link voltage $U_{2,dc}$, from which the the dc-dc-converter is supplied, mainly the two different control methods that are discussed in the following are possible.

A. Control of the Switching Frequency

A typical control scheme for IPT systems is the frequency control method, where the transmitter-side inverter switching frequency is used as the acting variable to regulate the output voltage $U_{2,dc}$ at the receiver-side. A possible implementation in shown in Fig. 4(b), where the measured difference between $U_{2,dc}$ and its reference value $U_{2,dc}^*$ is fed to, e.g., a PI-controller. The controller continuously updates the inverter switching frequency $f_{sw}$ from which the inverter switching signals $s_{1...4}$ are generated by a Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) module. An internal cross-check against a Safe Operating Area (SOA) is implemented in the PI controller to limit the switching frequency to the ZVS region of the resonant circuit that was either calculated or measured prior to operation. However, the switching conditions need to be constantly monitored and adapted, because the resonant frequency may differ from the anticipated value as discussed above.

B. Dual Control / Self-Sustained Oscillating Control

Alternatively, it is possible to determine the resonant frequency $f_0$ in real time, e.g., sing a current transformer circuit and a comparator
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\caption{(a) Influence of capacitor tolerance and (b) temperature drift on the IPT system in [3], which must be compensated by the control.}
\end{figure}
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dual control or self-sustained oscillating control method [16]-[18], Machine (SM) which generates the inverter switching signals counter to the the zero crossings of the current in the transmitter coil. To trigger a Phase-Locked Loop (PLL) that synchronizes an internal as shown in Fig. 4(e). Because the switching frequency is uncontrolled, the PLL counter signal

can be set such that the current during the transmission is initiated. This allows to account for variations of the resonant frequency due to component tolerances, temperature drift, or coil misalignment in an automatic fashion with guaranteed soft-switching in the ZVS region of the resonant circuit, which presents a fundamental advantage of the dual control method over the frequency control method [18].

An inherent disadvantage of both of the presented control methods is that the measured value of \( U_{2,dc} \) has been transmitted to the controller on the transmitter side via the wireless communication link. This introduces a time delay in the control loop and results in an increased reaction time of the output voltage regulation, which needs to be compensated by over-dimensioning of the passive components, e.g., the dc-link capacitance of the receiver.

C. Measured Performance of Existing Methods

The measurement setup that was used for these and all the measurements discussed in the following is shown in Fig. 5. A dc supply was used to set the transmitter-side dc-link voltage. For the regulation of the dc-link voltage at the receiver side, an electronic load in constant voltage mode was used. To dissipate the transmitted power, load resistors were used additionally to the electronic load. For the pre-charging of the receiver-side dc-link, another dc-supply was used, which is isolated by a series diode as soon as the power transmission is initiated.

Fig. 6 shows measured current and voltage waveforms in the resonant system at the transmission of the maximum output power of 5.7 kW. Waveforms for the dual control method with duty-cycle \( D = 0.75 \) (4.7 kW) and \( D = 0.65 \) (3.7 kW) are shown in Fig. 7(a)-(b), respectively. A comparison of Fig. 6 and Fig. 7(b) reveals a fundamental disadvantage of the dual control method (and also of the frequency control method): even though for the reduced output power the amplitude of the receiver coil current \( i_2 \) is lower, the amplitude of the current in the transmitter coil \( i_1 \) remains almost unchanged.

The calculated rms value of the current in the transmitter coil \( I_1 \) and the receiver coil current \( I_2 \) are shown in Fig. 8(a)-(b),
reduced output power with dc-link voltages controlled respectively. For the dual control and the frequency control method, this characteristic fundamentally impairs the efficiency of the system and the two dc-link voltages.

Fig. 7. (a)-(b) waveforms for the dual control method and duty-cycles $D = 0.75$ (4.7 kW) and $D = 0.65$ (3.7 kW), respectively; (c)-(d) waveforms at reduced output power with dc-link voltages controlled at $U_{1,dc} = 300$ V/$U_{2,dc} = 265$ V (3.2 kW) and $U_{1,dc} = 200$ V/$U_{2,dc} = 176$ V (1.4 kW).

respectively. For the dual control and the frequency control method, the rms value of the current in the transmitter coil is almost constant, while the current in the receiver coil is reduced. Consequently, the power loss in the transmitter coil also remains almost constant at reduced output power. The calculated power losses for the two control methods are shown in Fig. 9(a)-(b). For the calculation of the power losses in the coils $P_{coil,1/2}$ a finite element tool was used as described in [2], [3]. The losses in the resonant capacitors $P_{cap,1/2}$ were estimated based on the manufacturer data given in [15]. For the power semiconductors $P_{semi,1/2}$, conduction losses were calculated based on the device datasheet. Because of the ZVS operation, no switching losses have to be included.

In partial-load, the losses in the transmitter coil $P_{coil,1}$, the transmitter-side resonant capacitor $P_{cap,1}$, and the transmitter-side power semiconductors $P_{semi,1}$ are almost equal for all values of the output power for the dual control and the frequency control method. This characteristic fundamentally impairs the efficiency of the system at reduced load. In order to overcome this limitation, a control method with an improved partial-load efficiency is presented in the next section.

IV. NOVEL CONTROL METHOD FOR HIGH-POWER IPT

As discussed in the previous section, while a deviation from the resonant frequency of the IPT system reduces the transmitted power, the current in the transmitter coil remains almost constant and, therefore, causes high losses in partial-load. A better performance can be achieved if the switching frequency is constantly at the resonant frequency of the IPT link and the two dc-link voltages $U_{1,dc}$ and $U_{2,dc}$ are used to control the output power [4]. For the series-series compensated IPT system, the relation
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Fig. 8. (a) Calculated transmitter coil current $I_1$ and (b) receiver coil current $I_2$ for the frequency control (FC) method, the dual control (DC) method, and voltage control (VC) method (rms value).

Fig. 9. Calculated power loss as a function of the output power for (a) the frequency control, (b) dual control, and (c) for the proposed voltage control method. Losses of the dc-dc-converter are not included.
the transmitter that has to be transmitted across the air gap. The reference value $U_{1,dc}$ is the only inherently required communication with the transmitter that has to be transmitted across the air gap. The reference value $U_{1,dc}$ is sent through, e.g., a wireless communication across the air gap. In the proposed configuration, all critical parts are implemented within the receiver-side power electronic converter. The reference value $U_{1,dc}$ is manipulated by a PI-controller to regulate the battery current. An implementation of the described method is possible if the grid-side rectifier shown in Fig. 4(a) is realized as an active front-end that allows controlling the transmitter-side dc-link voltage or if an additional dc-dc-converter is implemented at the transmitter side. The receiver-side dc-link voltage can be regulated by the dc-dc-converter on the receiver side, which is also shown in Fig. 4(a).

The condition for the maximum efficiency of the IPT system is that the receiver reactance is matched to the equivalent load resistance (3) according to (1). Hence, if the receiver-side dc-link voltage can be controlled accurately, an estimate of the magnetic coupling is needed. If a feedback controller is used, also a pre-calculated, approximative value can be used and the control would compensate for the estimation error. However, ideally a method is implemented to estimate the magnetic coupling in real time, e.g., from measurements of the currents in the IPT coils and calculate the power loss online. An optimization algorithm or a look-up table could then be used to determine reference values that lead to minimum total losses in the system.

The reference value $U_{1,dc}$ follows from (2) as

$$U_{1,dc}^* = \sqrt{\frac{L_2}{L_1}} U_{2,dc}^*.$$  

(12)

The reference value $U_{1,dc}^*$ is sent through, e.g., a wireless communication channel to the power electronic converter on the transmitter side, where another, local PI-controller regulates the voltage. It is also possible to adapt $U_{1,dc}^*$ with the PI-controller instead of $U_{2,dc}^*$. However, then the reaction time of the battery current control loop would be lower, due to the delay that is introduced by the communication across the air gap. In the proposed configuration, all critical parts of the battery charging control are implemented on the receiver, which has a more direct access to the measurements. This is considered the inherently safest and most reliable design.

### A. Controller Implementation

An implementation of the described method is possible if the grid-side rectifier shown in Fig. 4(a) is realized as an active front-end that allows controlling the transmitter-side dc-link voltage or if an additional dc-dc-converter is implemented at the transmitter side. The receiver-side dc-link voltage can be regulated by the dc-dc-converter on the receiver side, which is also shown in Fig. 4(a).

The condition for the maximum efficiency of the IPT system is that the receiver reactance is matched to the equivalent load resistance (3) according to (1). Hence, if the receiver-side dc-link voltage can be controlled according to

$$U_{2,dc}^* = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2 L_2}{8}} \omega_0 L_1 k P_2.$$  

(11)

at reduced output power, the equivalent load resistance is maintained constant and the matching condition is always fulfilled. Therefore, this process could be termed active impedance matching. Note that if the equivalent load resistance is maintained constant independently of the output power, the strong load-dependency of the transfer characteristics of the series-series compensated IPT system described, e.g., in [19], is eliminated.

Because of the symmetry of (9), it is sufficient if a feedback controller is used to adapt one of the reference values dynamically to compensate inaccuracies in the analytical calculation shown above. Fig. 10 shows a possible configuration, where the reference value $U_{2,dc}^*$ is manipulated by a PI-controller to regulate the battery current.

### C. Control of IGBT Soft-Switching Conditions

If the circuit for the detection of the zero crossings and the PLL discussed in Section III for the dual control method are also implemented for the voltage control scheme, another valuable advantage arises: it was shown in [7]-[10] that the stored charge of IGBTs causes high switching losses, even if they are commutated with
in the IP T coils, resonant capacitors, and power semiconductors) as a function of the output power at an air gap of 52 mm, using the voltage control method.

D. Measured Performance of the Voltage Control Method

Fig. 12. Calculated and measured dc-to-to conversion efficiency (incl. losses in the IPT coils, resonant capacitors, and power semiconductors) as a function of the output power at an air gap of 52 mm, using the voltage control method.

almost zero current. For IPT systems with a high power level, e.g., several tens of kW, for all of the discussed control methods this effect could severely impair the performance, because the IGBTs are always switched close to the zero crossings of the current. Novel SiC modules with better switching performance are often proposed to circumvent this problem, e.g., in [4]. However, due to their high reliability, low cost, and better availability at present time IGBT modules are still clearly preferred in industry. The possibility to minimize the effect of the stored charge, which is discussed in the following is, therefore, a key advantage of the proposed voltage control scheme.

In [9], it was shown that an optimum exists for the current during the turn-off transition of an NPC-bridge leg operated in discontinuous conduction mode in a solid state transformer application. The optimally switched current is given where the charge that is removed from the IGBT by the magnetizing current of the transformer during the zero current interval is equal to the stored charge in the junction of the IGBT, because the turn-on losses of the complementary device are eliminated and the total losses reach a minimum.

Similarly, for the schematically reproduced waveforms of the IPT system in Fig. 11(b), a condition for minimum IGBT switching losses follows for the turn-off angle $\epsilon$. At the switching transition $T_2$, the charge that is removed from the IGBT by the collector current $I_c$ during the interlock time must be equal to the charge $Q_s$ that is stored in the IGBT function in order to completely eliminate the turn-on losses of the complementary device. Under the assumption of a sinusoidal current in the transmitter coil and with the stored charge model discussed in [8], [9], the optimum angle $\epsilon$ can be calculated numerically. In Fig. 11(e) the optimum is shown as a function of the interlock time and for different switching frequencies. It can be seen that for higher switching frequencies a higher angle $\epsilon$ is needed to completely remove the charge from the IGBT, which is still higher at the turn-off due to the time constant of the device.

With the zero crossing detection and the PLL, the switched current during the transition $T_2$ can be controlled by adjusting the angle $D\pi$ in combination with the described control voltage method. If the voltages can be adjusted within a sufficient range, the angle $D\pi$ is an additional degree of freedom of the control method. This allows to minimize the IGBT switching losses significantly by tracking the discussed optimum for the turn-off angle $\epsilon$. This optimization is not possible with the existing control methods that were described in Section III, since there, the phase shift between current and voltage is needed for the power control and is, therefore, not available for another control loop.

D. Measured Performance of the Voltage Control Method

Measured waveforms at two power levels are shown in Fig. 7(e)-(d). The calculated rms currents that results in the transmitter and receiver coil are indicated in Fig. 8(a)-(b), respectively. The current in the transmitter coil can be significantly reduced due to the reduction of the dc-link voltages at lower output power. The current in the receiver coil is slightly increased, but this is needed to drive the system to the global loss optimum, which is also evident from the calculated power losses shown in Fig. 9(e).

Using a Yokogawa WT3000 power analyzer, the dc-to-dc efficiency of the system was measured for different load points. The results of the efficiency measurement at an air gap of 52 mm are shown in Fig. 12. As indicated, the measured and calculated performance show an excellent agreement, which validates the used methods.

A more detailed discussion of the used IPT prototype system and the measurement setup can be found in [2], [3], where also thermal and stray field measurements are presented to verify the finite-element based models. In the experiments, the dc-link voltages were adjusted with the dc-supply and the electronic load shown in Fig. 5. In a practical system, this would be the task of the dc-de-converters. However, it is expected that the outcome of the measurements would be the same.

As confirmed by the measurements, the proposed voltage control methods show an excellent performance, that can not be reached with the existing control methods. However, the price that has to be paid is the additional losses and the volume of the dc-de-converter that is required on the transmitter side of the system.

V. REQUIREMENTS FOR THE ADDITIONAL DC-DC-CONVERTER

Generally, it can be considered an advantage of the proposed solution that power losses can be shifted from the IPT coils to the power electronic converters, since an efficient cooling of the IPT coils is more complex than the cooling system of a power electronic converter. Due to the stray field of the coils, high eddy current losses would occur in any metal parts. Therefore, the copper windings and the core materials of the IPT coils would need to be mechanically fixed mostly with plastic components, which typically have a comparably low thermal conductivity. The cooling would need to be provided either passively, or by forced air from integrated fans or a compressed air supply. Meanwhile, the components of the power converter could be mounted on a standard heatsink, which could be forced air cooled or even connected to a water-cooling circuit of the EV, which would allow for a highly compact and robust realization [20], [21]. Nevertheless, the over-all system efficiency is still an important performance aspect. Therefore, requirements of the dc-de-converter are derived in the following.

For the topology shown in Fig. 13(a) and equal, bi-directional dc-de-converters with efficiency $\eta_{dc-de}(P_2)$, for the proposed voltage control method

$$\eta_{dc-de}(P_2) = \frac{\eta_{Vc}(P_2) \cdot \eta_{oc}(P_2) \cdot \eta_{dc-de}(P_2)}{\eta_{Vc}(P_2) \cdot \eta_{oc}(P_2)} \cdots \geq \max \left[ \eta_{Vc}(P_2), \eta_{oc}(P_2) \right] \cdot \eta_{dc-de}(P_2),$$

(13)

must apply to outperform the frequency control and the dual control methods. In (13), $\eta_{Vc}(P_2)$ is the efficiency of the voltage control method as a function of the output power $P_2$, while $\eta_{dc-de}(P_2)$ and $\eta_{oc}(P_2)$ are the efficiencies of the frequency control and dual control methods, respectively.

It is assumed that for the frequency control and the dual control methods, a dc-de converter is implemented on the receiver side to control the battery current locally, which is not strictly needed, but advisable and commonly used for the protection of the battery. Then, the required efficiency of the dc-de-converter is given as

$$\eta_{dc-de}(P_2) \geq \max \left[ \eta_{Vc}(P_2), \eta_{oc}(P_2) \right] \cdot \frac{1}{\eta_{Vc}(P_2)},$$

(14)
a function of the output power for the 5 kW laboratory prototype with requirement for the dc-dc-converters; 1.2 kVA IGBTs.

Fig. 13.

As shown in Fig. 13(b), for a scaled 50 kW high-power IPT system, which incorporates IGBTs, a large loss reduction is possible with the proposed control method, and the efficiency requirement for the dc-dc-converter is feasible. Particularly, if a modular converter system as shown in [20], [21] is implemented, a high partial-load efficiency is possible if the modules are sequentially activated and deactivated, depending on the output power. For the 5 kW laboratory prototype, where MOSFETs are employed in the IPT system and no switching losses occur due to ZVS, the required efficiency is higher and a realization of the converter is more challenging. However, considering state-of-the-art dc-dc-converters also this task seems achievable [22].

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the two existing control schemes frequency control and dual control are analyzed and compared in detail, using both calculations and measurements. It is shown that a significant disadvantage of both methods is the high reactive current in the transmitter coil during phases of reduced output power and, therefore, high partial-load losses in the transmitter coil.

Based on the results, a novel control method with significantly lower losses in partial-load is derived, where the dc-link voltages on both sides of the IPT system are regulated by dc-dc-converters to control the output power. The novel method includes the zero crossing detection of the dual control method, which allows full control of the power semiconductor switching conditions. It, therefore, enables the minimization of IGBT soft-switching losses, since the output current during the switching transition is an additional degree of freedom of the control. Experimental results demonstrate the excellent performance of the proposed novel method.

The price for the good results is an additional dc-dc-converter, for which the requirements are also derived. Assuming a state-of-the-art converter with 99% efficiency, and given the experimentally verified 96.5% transmission efficiency of the described prototype IPT system, a total conversion efficiency from grid to battery of 95% is expected with the proposed control method, even for high-power systems that incorporate IGBTs.
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