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Abstract—In this paper the low frequency harmonic distortion of the mains current of a three-phase single-switch discontinuous-mode boost-rectifier is calculated. The system analysis is based on application of space vector calculus and on substitution of discontinuous time shapes within a pulse period by quasicontinuous time shapes. The quasicontinuous time shapes are defined by averaging over the pulse period. The dependency of the shape of the input currents on the voltage transformation ratio is given for various control methods in analytical form. The results of the theoretical analysis are verified by digital simulation and by measurements on a laboratory model. A good consistency of the results has been found.

NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>output capacitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_N$</td>
<td>mains filter capacitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>output diode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_k$</td>
<td>diodes of the three-phase rectifier bridge $(k = 1 \ldots 6)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_N$, $f_P$</td>
<td>mains frequency, pulse frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_D$</td>
<td>output diode current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{N,(RST)}$</td>
<td>mains phase currents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{i}_D, (6i)$</td>
<td>amplitude of the harmonic with order $6i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3 \ldots)$ of the output diode current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_T$</td>
<td>transistor current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_U$</td>
<td>magnitude of the space vector $i_U$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{i}_{U,(RST)}$</td>
<td>space vector assigned to $i_{U,(RST)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{N,(k)}$</td>
<td>input currents of the three-phase rectifier bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{N,(k)}$</td>
<td>Fourier coefficient of the mains phase current $(k = 5, 7, 11, 13 \ldots)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{I}_{N,(k)}$</td>
<td>amplitude of the mains current harmonic with order $k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_O$</td>
<td>load (output) current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_P$</td>
<td>gain of the output voltage controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_N$</td>
<td>mains filter inductances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{U}$</td>
<td>AC side series inductances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>voltage transformation ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_O$</td>
<td>output power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R(s)$</td>
<td>transfer function of the output voltage controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_O$</td>
<td>load resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_N$</td>
<td>power-equivalent input resistance of the converter (regarding the fundamental); star connection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>(global) time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$t_{\mu}$ | local time (within a pulse period) |
$t_{\mu,1}$ | turn-on time of power transistor $T$ |
$T$      | power transistor |
$T_N$    | mains fundamental period |
$T_P$    | pulse period |
$\mathbf{u}_N$ | space vector assigned to the $u_{N,(RST)}$ |
$u_{N,(RST)}$ | mains phase voltages |
$u_O$    | DC output voltage |
$u_T$    | transistor voltage |
$u_U$    | magnitude of $u_T$ |
$\mathbf{u}_U$ | space vector assigned to $u_{U,(RST)}$ |
$u_{U,(RST)}$ | input phase voltages of the three-phase rectifier bridge |
$\breve{U}_N$ | amplitude of mains phase voltage (fundamental), magnitude of space vector $u_N$ |
$\delta_P$ | turn-on time $t_{\mu,1}$ of $T$ related to the local conduction interval of three-phase rectifier bridge |
$\delta_P$ | rated turn-on interval of $T$ |
$\varphi_N$ | phase assigned to time $t\, (\varphi_N = \omega_N\, t)$ |
$\omega_N$ | mains angular frequency |

lower case letters: characterizing (local or global) time-dependent quantities

upper case letters: characterizing time-independent global mean or rms values

Indices:

avg | linear mean value |
$n$ | reference value (basis) of the normalization |
$r$ | normalized (rated) quantity |
rms | quadratic mean value (rms value) |
t_{\mu} | time assignment of a local current value |
(RST) | phase R or phase S or phase T, respectively |
$\alpha, \beta$ | components of a space vector |
$\mu$ | local time within a pulse period |

I. INTRODUCTION

TODAY in most cases uncontrolled three-phase bridge circuits are used for coupling of converter systems with voltage dc link to the three-phase mains. Such converters of usually low and medium power are used widely in the industrial automation and process technology.

Regarding the mains behavior this concept is characterized by the presence of high low-frequency mains current harmonics especially for direct mains connection (without smoothing inductances on ac or dc side) or discontinuous conduction...
of the diode bridge, respectively. Besides higher transmission losses these currents result in a distortion of the mains voltage due to the inner impedance of the supplying mains. This voltage distortion at the point of common coupling may cause an influence or malfunction of other systems. A further basic disadvantage of uncontrolled rectification is that the output voltage is in direct dependency on the voltage of the supplying mains. The mains voltage tolerance band therefore has to be considered for the dimensioning of the load side converter by taking into account the inner impedance of the supplying mains load behavior. However, the system analysis given in [1] using a single-phase equivalent circuit is limited to high values of the output voltage (related to the mains voltage). This means that the converter operation is not treated by this method in the case of the especially interesting region of an output voltage $U_O = 620$ V . . . $820$ V. This output voltage is a possible dc link voltage level, e.g., for PWM inverter systems for operation from the European low-voltage mains with 380 V$_{\text{rms}}$ line-to-line voltage.

The analysis of the low-frequency mains current harmonics for the circuit given in [1] is the topic of this paper. The calculation of the power factor is omitted due to the relative low significance regarding the influence on the mains. The importance especially of the low-frequency current harmonics for the judgement of the influence on the mains is due to the high low-frequency harmonic content of the public mains whose limitation based on recommendations (IEEE 519) and regulations (IEC 555-2) is strived for.

The analysis of the system behavior is performed based on the space vector calculus which allows a direct and clear mathematical description of three-phase systems. This avoids the use of a single-phase equivalent circuit as used in [1] which shows only a limited validity. The mains currents remaining after filtering out the high-frequency harmonics of the discontinuous input currents of the rectifier are calculated in analytically closed form. There, a local averaging is used which is related to one pulse period. For pulse frequencies being sufficiently higher than the mains frequency, this approximation shows high accuracy. The time shape and the low-order mains current harmonics are shown graphically for different control methods in dependency on the converter voltage transfer ratio. Finally, the results of the theoretical analysis are verified by digital simulation and by measurements on a laboratory model. A good consistency of the results is obtained.

II. PRINCIPLE OF OPERATION

As already mentioned, the system of Fig. 1 shows the structure of a three-phase single-switch discontinuous-mode boost converter. It can be regarded as being built up based on a three-phase extension of a boost converter [2] as applied for power factor correction of single-phase unidirectional ac-dc power conversion. For low dynamics and constant pulse frequency $f_p$ the control of the converter is performed with an on-time $t_{\mu,1}$ of the power transistor $T$ being approximately constant over the fundamental period. A synchronization of $f_p$ and mains frequency $f_N$ is not necessary for $f_p \gg f_N$.

The output voltage has a lower limit according to the boost converter structure as given by the amplitude of the mains line-to-line voltage $\sqrt{3} U_N$.

For illustrating the principle of operation in Fig. 2, the conduction states of the converter are shown within a pulse period $t_{\mu} \in [0, T_p]$. Regarding the values of the mains phase voltages we assume $u_{N,R} > 0$, $u_{N,T} \leq u_{N,S} \leq 0$ as being valid for an interval $\pi/6$ of the mains (fundamental) period. The mains phase voltages $u_{N,(RST)}$ are considered approximately constant within a pulse period. Due to the low-pass characteristic of the mains filter $L_N, C_N$, the mains voltage can be thought to be present directly on the filter output. Before transistor $T$ is turned on we have $i_{U,R} = i_{U,S} = i_{U,T} = 0$ according to the discontinuous conduction mode. The dc output voltage $U_O$ is assumed to be sufficiently higher than the peak value of the line-to-line mains voltage. Therefore, we have the output diode $D$ and the diodes $D_1 \ldots D_6$ of the three-phase bridge rectifier in blocking state.
T shall be turned on at $t_{\mu} = 0$. The rate of rise of the phase currents due to the short circuit of the diode bridge on the dc side is defined by the driving mains phase voltages and by the inductances $L_U$. The turn-off of $T$ in $t_{\mu,1}$ (as given by the control circuit) is followed by demagnetization of the inductances $L_U$ via diode $D$ into the capacitor $C$ buffering the output voltage. There, only within the first demagnetization interval $t_{\mu} \in [t_{\mu,1}, t_{\mu,2}]$ all phases conduct current. In $t_{\mu,2}$ that phase current which shows the smallest absolute value at $t_{\mu,1}$ becomes 0 (in our case, $i_{U,R}$). The following second demagnetization interval (demagnetization of the remaining phases R and T which now conduct equal currents with opposite signs) is finished at $t_{\mu,3}$. There, one has to guarantee $t_{\mu,3} \leq T_P$ for discontinuous mode by appropriate dimensioning of $L_U$. Therefore, the system can be analyzed only by a rough approximation using a single-phase equivalent circuit because this basically does not include the second demagnetization interval.

Fig. 3 shows the shape of input current $i_{U,R}$ and mains phase voltage $u_{N,R}$ of the rectifier gained by digital simulation. For demonstration purposes the system is pulsed with low switching frequency. The discontinuous input phase currents $i_{U,R}(RST)$ follow envelopes which are proportional to the respective phase voltages $u_{N,R}(RST)$. By filtering out the spectral components having switching frequency by a mains filter $L_U$, $C_N$ connected in series (cf. Fig. 1) we therefore obtain an approximately ohmic mains loading (referred to the fundamentals of the mains currents $i_{N,R}(RST)$). One has to point out that due to the on-time of $T$ being constant over the fundamental period the current guidance results directly from the mains voltage and not from a sinusoidal variation of the duty cycle of $T$.

Prior to the calculation of the low-frequency harmonics of the mains currents (cf. Section V) remaining after filtering we want to compile the assumptions made there, the definitions and the basics of the calculation method in the following.

### III. ANALYSIS METHOD

#### A. Assumptions

The analysis of the system is based on the following simplifying assumptions [3]:

- purely sinusoidal, symmetric mains voltage system $u_{N,R}(RST)$
- the ripple of the voltages across the filtering capacitors $C_N$ is negligible ($<5\%$)
- the amplitudes of the fundamental voltage drops across the filtering inductances $L_N$ are negligible as compared to the amplitude of the mains phase voltages $U_N$; the voltages across $C_N$ therefore are assumed to be impressed and equal to the mains phase voltages $u_{N,R}(RST)$
- constant output voltage $U_O = U_0$ (ripple $<5\%$)
- constant output current $i_O = I_0$
- $f_P > 200 f_N$ or $T_P \ll T_N$, respectively (mains voltages approximately constant within a pulse period)
- ideal system components, especially neglection of losses, switching times etc.

It is of special importance to assume a sufficiently high switching frequency of the converter ($f_P \gg f_N$). As described in the following, this assumption allows a direct analytical calculation of the shape of the filtered converter input current.

According to the phase-symmetric structure of the power electronic system and to the assumed symmetry of the mains...
voltage we can limit the description of the system behavior as given in Section IV to the interval \[ \varphi_N \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \] (cf. Fig. 3). By simple symmetry considerations this interval can be extended to the entire fundamental period (cf. section III-B, (2)).

**B. Quasi-Continuous Approximation**

For a practical realization of the system one should strive for \( f_P > 20 \text{ kHz} \), i.e., above the audible spectrum. A further increase of \( f_P \) results in a reduced filtering effort. This seems to be realizable also for higher output power \( P_O \) because the discontinuous mode avoids a stress of \( T \) due to the reverse recovery current of \( D \).

Based on the assumption of a high \( f_P \) a quasicontinuous analytical approximation

\[
i_{N,(RST)}(\varphi_N) = i_{U,(RST)}(\text{avg}) = \frac{1}{T_P} \int_0^{T_P} i_{U,(RST)}(t_\mu) \, dt_\mu
\]

of the mains currents can be calculated [4]–[6]. This is achieved by using an averaging of the discontinuous currents \( i_{U,(RST)} \). The averaging suppresses local variations with switching frequency. There, \( t_\mu \) denotes a local time running within the pulse period, \( \varphi_N \) gives the position of the pulse interval within the fundamental period.

Due to the assumed symmetry of the mains voltage system the shape of, e.g., \( i_{N,R} \) within \( \varphi_N \in [\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3}] \) can be given directly by

\[
i_{N,R} = \begin{cases} -i_{U,T}(\text{avg})(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{3}) & \varphi_N \in [\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3}] \\ -i_{U,S}(\text{avg})(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{3}) & \varphi_N \in [\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}] \end{cases}
\]

via an appropriate shift of the shapes of the phase currents \( i_{N,S} \) and \( i_{N,T} \) which have been calculated for the analyzed interval \( \varphi_N \in [0, \frac{\pi}{3}] \).

As a check by digital simulation (cf. Section VI) shows, for \( f_P \geq 500 \text{ fN} \) the deviation remains below 1% as compared to the exact values of the low-frequency harmonics of \( i_{N,(RST)} \).

Besides the shape of the mains current, also the mean value of the output diode current which defines the output power of the system according to

\[ P_O = U_O \, I_D \, \text{avg} \]

\[ (3) \]

can be given in analytically closed form

\[ I_D \, \text{avg} = \frac{6}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} i_{D,(RST)}(\varphi_N) \, d\varphi_N \]

\[ (4) \]

(also shown in Section V). Analogous to (1) we have for the local mean value of the diode current for the considered angle interval \( \varphi_N \in [0, \frac{\pi}{6}] \) (cf. Fig. 5):

\[
i_{D,(RST)}(t_\mu) = \frac{1}{T_P} \int_{t_{\mu,i}}^{T_P} i_{R}(t_\mu) \, dt_\mu.
\]

\[ (5) \]

**C. Space Vectors**

The space vector calculus [7] is used for special clearness and due to a simpler calculation (compared to the methods using phase quantities).

For the definition of the complex phasor associated with a triple of phase quantities we have (for the example of the converter input currents)

\[
i_U = (i_{U,\alpha} + j i_{U,\beta}) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} (i_{U,R} + a i_{U,S} + a^2 i_{U,T}) \]

\[ (6) \]

\[ a = (- \frac{1}{2} + j \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}) \]

**Remark:** Space vectors are used for the description of space distributions of electrical and magnetic quantities in the area of three-phase ac machines. Here, however, formally equal defined complex phasors describe the *time-behavior* of the phase quantities. One should call the complex quantity \( i_U \) defined by (6) therefore correctly a *three-phase phasor* of the instantaneous values of the input currents \( i_{U,(RST)} \) of the diode bridge. Because the literature of power electronics does not make a difference between these two aspects, we also will use the term "space vector" in the following.

For the back-transformation of the space vector components \( i_{U,\alpha} \) and \( i_{U,\beta} \) into phase quantities there follows

\[
i_{U,R} = i_{U,\alpha}
\]

\[ i_{U,S} = -\frac{1}{2} i_{U,\alpha} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i_{U,\beta} \]

\[ i_{U,T} = -\frac{1}{2} i_{U,\alpha} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} i_{U,\beta}. \]

**D. Normalization**

For a wide applicability and a simple transfer of the relationships derived here, we want to agree on the following normalizations

\[
t_{\mu,i,r} = \frac{1}{T_P} t_{\mu,i}
\]

\[ u_{i,r} = \frac{1}{U_O} u_i \]

\[ i_{i,r} = \frac{1}{I_n} i_i \]

\[ p_{i,r} = \frac{1}{P_n} p_i. \]

Into the basis quantities

\[
I_n = \frac{2}{3} U_O \frac{T_P}{L_U}
\]

\[ P_n = \frac{2}{3} U_O^2 \frac{T_P}{L_U} \]

\[ (9) \]

we include the parameters which directly influence the system behavior. If one includes the output voltage (being controlled to a fixed value) instead of, e.g., the mains phase voltage amplitude into the normalization basis of currents and powers one can avoid a change of the basis quantities for changing mains voltage conditions (due to the input voltage tolerance band or different nominal values of \( U_N \)).

With (3), (8), and (9) we have for the rated system output power

\[
P_{O,r} \equiv I_{D,\text{avg},r}.
\]

\[ (10) \]
E. Definitions

In the following the definitions of important characteristic system quantities are summarized briefly. For the characterization of the system voltage transfer ratio the ratio of output voltage to the amplitude of the mains line-to-line voltage is used according to

\[ M = \frac{U_O}{\sqrt{3}U_N} \quad M \geq 1. \]  

(11)

For the relative on-time of the transistor \( T \) we define

\[ \delta_p = \frac{t_{on}}{T_p}. \]  

(12)

Because the shape of the envelope of the discontinuous input phase current \( i_{u_i} \) is proportional to the related phase voltage (cf. Fig. 3(a)) no phase shift of the fundamental of the input phase current and of the related phase voltage occurs at the mains filter output. As noted in Section III-A, now the filter damping at mains frequency can be neglected due to the fact that the cut-off frequency lies substantially above the mains frequency for practically realized systems. Accordingly, the filter output voltage can be set approximately equal to the mains voltage or assumed being purely sinusoidal. Therefore, the power consumption of the rectifier system corresponds to a star-connection of equivalent resistors \( R_N \) lying at the output of the mains filter [8], [9]. Based on the power balance between the ac and the dc side of the system

\[ P_O = U_O I_{D,\text{avg}} = \frac{3}{2} U_N I_{U_i(1)} = \frac{3}{2} U_N^2 \]  

(13)

there follows under consideration of (11) and of the relations given in Section III-D

\[ R_N = \frac{3}{4} \frac{L}{T_P} M^{-2} J_{D,\text{avg},c}. \]  

(14)

The real power contributions formed as product of equal order harmonics of the rectifier input current and of the filter output voltage are not covered by \( R_N \). \( R_N \) represents an equivalent quantity being related to the fundamentals. The parameter dependency of \( R_N \) yields a clear conclusion about the controllability of the main power flow of the system.

Remark: It is important to note that \( R_N \) describes only the main power flow but not the rectifier input characteristic which defines the instantaneous current consumption. A corresponding equivalent resistance (the system input impedance) is to be defined by the ratio of the mains voltage and the rectifier input current space vectors \( \bar{\psi}_U = \bar{\psi}_N/\bar{\psi}_U \). It shows a time-dependent complex value. The occurrence of low-frequency input current harmonics (cf. Fig. 7) which cannot be explained by a time-constant resistive load \( R_N \) becomes clear, therefore.

The fundamental \( i_{N,(1)} \) of the mains current is formed by the sum of the resistive input current \( i_{U_i(1)} \) of the system and by the current consumption of the mains filter being capacitive at mains frequency. Therefore, especially for low output power mains current and mains voltage fundamental will not be in phase; resistive behavior of the rectifier system is given only regarding the fundamentals of the mains filter output voltage, but not with respect to the mains voltage. For the sake of concentrating on the essentials we want to neglect the capacitive current contribution at the fundamental frequency as caused by the mains filter; only the resistive contribution of the mains current shall be considered, or \( I_{N,(1)} = I_{U_i(1)} \) shall be set in the following.

IV. BASIC SYSTEM EQUATIONS

According to (1), the calculation of the local mean values \( i_{N,(RST)} \) defining the shape of the mains phase currents is related to the shape of the discontinuous input currents \( i_{U_i(RST)}(t_{on}) \) of the bridge rectifier. Its analysis is the topic of this section.

As can be seen from Fig. 4, the trajectory of \( \bar{u}_U \) describes an acute-angled triangle within each pulse period. The mathematical description of these trajectory segments which completely describe the shape of the phase currents within the pulse period according to Section III-A can be limited to \( \varphi \in \left[ 0, \frac{\pi}{6} \right] \) (cf. Fig. 5). The assumed symmetric and purely sinusoidal system of mains voltages

\[ u_{N,R} = \hat{U}_N \cos(\varphi_N) \]  

\[ u_{N,S} = \hat{U}_N \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{2\pi}{3}) \]  

\[ u_{N,T} = \hat{U}_N \cos(\varphi_N + \frac{2\pi}{3}) \]  

(15)

is described by the mains voltage space vector (rotating with constant angular speed \( \omega_N \))

\[ \bar{u}_N = \hat{U}_N \exp(j\varphi_N), \quad \varphi_N = \omega_N t. \]  

(16)

\( \bar{u}_N \) is approximated by a phasor being fixed within the considered pulse period. Due to the discontinuous operation we have \( \bar{u}_U = 0 \) before \( T \) is turned on at \( t_{on} = 0 \). The linear rate of rise of the phase currents within \( i_{N} \in [0, t_{on}] \) (cf. Fig. 5, right hand side) corresponds to a linear rise of the magnitude of the space vector \( \bar{u}_U \) in the direction of \( \hat{u}_{ST} \). After turning off \( T \) at \( t_{off} = 0 \) the voltage space vector defining the current change is given by \( \bar{u}_N = \bar{u}_{U_i(100)} \) (cf. Fig. 5); space vector of converter input voltages when diodes \( D_1, D_4, D_6 \) are conducting). When \( \bar{u}_U \) reaches the limit \( i_{U_i,S} = 0 \) at \( t_{on,2} \) (i.e., when the phase current showing the smallest absolute value at \( t_{on,1} \) becomes...
Fig. 5. Description of the operating behavior by space vector calculus: trajectory of $i_L$ and related time shape of the converter input currents $i_{U,\{RST\}}$ and of output diode current $i_D$ (marked by the dotted area) within one pulse period $t_p \in [0, T_p]$ for $u_{N,R} > 0$, $u_{N,T} \leq u_{N,S} \leq 0$ (cf. Fig. 2); $u_{U,\{100\}}$: space vector of the mains phase voltages; $u_{U,\{100\}}$: space vector of the input voltages of the three-phase diode bridge when diodes $D_1, D_4$ and $D_6$ are conducting (cf. Fig. 1); phase $\varphi_N = \omega_N t$ defines the time position of the pulse interval considered within the fundamental period $\varphi_N \in [-\pi, 3\pi]$; parameter: $M = 1.5$.

0), the second demagnetization interval begins. From now on the current-driving voltage is given only by the projection of $u_N - u_{U,\{100\}}$ onto the axis $i_{U,S} = 0$. The demagnetization of the inductances $L_U$ is finished at $t_{\mu,3}$. For discontinuous operation one has to guarantee $t_{\mu,3} \leq T_p$ therefore.

Remark: The considerations made show clearly the only limited validity of a system analysis based on a single-phase equivalent circuit. There, a second demagnetization phase is basically not included. Therefore, especially for small values of $M$ ($\leq 2$) considerable errors in modeling result.

Considering the differential equation

$$L_U \frac{di_U}{dt} = u_N - u_{U,\{i\}}(i_U, t)$$

(17)

of the ac side system part there follows for the space vector components and time intervals ($\Delta t_{\mu,i} = t_{\mu,i} - t_{\mu,a}$) defining the trajectory of $i_U$ (consisting of approximately straight line segments),

$$t_{\mu} = t_{\mu,1} :$$

$$i_{U,\mu,1,\alpha,r} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \delta_P M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N)$$

$$i_{U,\mu,1,\beta,r} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \delta_P M^{-1} \sin(\varphi_N)$$

(18)

$$t_{\mu} = t_{\mu,2} :$$

$$i_{U,\mu,2,\alpha,r} = \frac{3}{2} \delta_P M^{-1} \frac{\sin(\varphi_N)}{1 + \sqrt{3} M^{-1} \sin(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})}$$

$$i_{U,\mu,2,\beta,r} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \delta_P M^{-1} \frac{\sin(\varphi_N)}{1 + \sqrt{3} M^{-1} \sin(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})}$$

(19)

and see (20), shown at the bottom of the page. The local current flow interval of the three-phase rectifier bridge related to the turn-on time of $T$ results as

$$\delta_P^{-1} = \frac{t_{\mu,3}}{t_{\mu,1}} = \frac{1}{1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})}. \quad (21)$$

Somewhat surprisingly there follows a relationship being valid in an analog manner for dc-dc boost converters. In the case at hand only the (constant) input voltage of the dc-dc converter related to the output voltage has to be replaced by the three-phase rectified mains voltage (for $\varphi_N \in [0, \frac{\pi}{6}]$: $u_{N,R,T,r} = u_{N,R,T} - u_{N,T,r} = M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})$; cf. also [10, (8)]).

V. MAINS CURRENT HARMONICS

The analyses of the operation of three-phase single-switch discontinuous-mode pulse rectifiers given in the literature are limited to the same control method, i.e., the control with constant turn-on time $t_{\mu,1}$ and constant pulse frequency $f_P$ [1, 9–11].

The aim of this section is to calculate the mains current quality in dependency on $M$ for various control methods. As a basis we have the situation given for constant turn-on time $t_{\mu,1}$ of $T$ and constant $f_P$. This control method, to be called control method I is given for practical system realization only at the limit of a cut-off frequency of the output voltage control lying substantially below $6 f_N$ (or in the case of an open-loop system control).

As a further control method (control method II) the operation of the converter is analyzed at the border of the discontinuous mode. Furthermore, again $t_{\mu,1}$ shall be constant over the fundamental period. Turning on of $T$ in this case

$$\Delta t_{\mu,21,r} = \sqrt{3} \delta_P M^{-1} \left(\frac{\sin(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})}{1 + \sqrt{3} M^{-1} \sin(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})}\right)$$

$$\Delta t_{\mu,32,r} = 2 \delta_P M^{-1} \frac{\sin(\varphi_N)}{(1 + \sqrt{3} M^{-1} \sin(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6}))(1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6}))}$$

(20)
Fig. 6. Comparison of different control concepts. Representation of analytically calculated time behaviors (limited to a quarter fundamental period) and of the low frequency mains current harmonics $I_{N,(k)}$ referred to the fundamental $I_{N,(1)}$ in dependency on $M$ for $P_{O,av} = 0.01$. (a) control method I: $f_P$ and $t_{\mu,1}$ constant over the fundamental period; power pulsations on the dc side due to low frequency harmonics of $I_{N,(RST)}$ are not compensated; the cut-off frequency of the output voltage control loop lies substantially below $6f_N$. (b) control method II: As (a), but $f_P$ not constant. $T$ is turned on immediately after the end of the demagnetization of $L_U$ at $t_{\mu,3}$; a current gap during $t_P \in [t_{\mu,3}, T_P]$ is avoided, the system is operated at the border to continuous mode. (c) control method III: As (a), but $t_{\mu,1}$ not constant. By highly dynamical output voltage control an approximately constant power flow on the dc side is impressed (constant local mean value $i_{D,avg}$) by appropriate variation of $t_{\mu,1}$. The Fourier coefficients $I_{N,(k)}(k = (5, 7); (11, 13); \ldots)$ which lie in the vicinity of the multiples of $6f_N$ show equal amplitude and opposite sign in this case; therefore, they do not occur as harmonics of $i_{D,avg}$ ($i_{D,(esi)} \equiv 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots$).

takes place immediately after the end of the demagnetization interval at $t_{\mu,3}$. Due to the avoidance of a current gap $t_P \in [t_{\mu,3}, T_P]$ characterizing the discontinuous operation with constant $f_P$, maximum utilization of the power circuit components is achieved. The modulation of the pulse frequency with six times $f_N$ (cf. Fig. 7) furthermore leads to a broader distribution of the high-frequency spectral components of $i_{U,(RST)}$. Due to the more even distribution of the same spectral power compared to constant $f_P$ the filtering in order to satisfy the regulations for conducted electromagnetic influence (e.g., VDE 0871) is reduced especially for the region $10\text{kHz} \leq f \leq 150\text{kHz}$ [12].

As mentioned, the operation of the system with constant $t_{\mu,1}$ is given only for the case of low dynamics (and if constant load current is assumed). This case is not very important for industrial applications. For high cut-off frequency of the control of $i_{U0}$ (control method III) the power oscillations with frequencies with $6i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$) times $f_N$ (which occur on the dc side for constant $t_{\mu,1}$ and constant $f_P$) are compensated via variation of $t_{\mu,1}$. This results ideally in a constant instantaneous local mean value $P_{O,avg}$ of the output power. If no buffering of load pulses, no buffering during voltage dips and no limitation of mains overvoltages is required, $C$ (which usually would be an electrolytic capacitor) can be realized as a foil-type capacitor. This will have higher current carrying capability, may have much lower $C$ and higher lifetime. This also increases the reliability of the converter [13].

Remark: As shown in [6, (57)], an increase of the output voltage control dynamics in single phase ac-dc converters leads to an increase of the low-frequency spectral components if the mains current as compared to the case with constant $t_{\mu,1}$. The reason is given by the pulsating instantaneous power of a single-phase system loaded with purely sinusoidal current. On the contrary, three-phase systems have a time-constant instantaneous value of power if the mains currents are purely sinusoidal and are in phase with the mains voltages. Therefore one can expect a reduction of the mains current harmonics for control method III. The distortion of $i_{N,(RST)}$ which remains to a reduced degree also for constant $P_{O,avg}$ is given by the instantaneous reactive input power [14] required for the system function. For the sake of brevity this shall not be treated here in detail.

In the following analytical approximations are given for the time behavior of $I_{N,(RST)}$ for the control methods described. The calculated current shapes are shown graphically in connection with the numerically gained low-frequency harmonics in dependency on $M$ (cf. Fig. 6).
A. Control Method I

For the shape of the phase current \( i_{N,R} \) we receive with (1), (2) and Section IV for constant \( t_{\mu,1} \) and constant \( f_P \) (or constant \( \delta_P \))

\[
\varphi_N \in \left[ 0, \frac{\pi}{6} \right]: \quad i_{N,R,r} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \delta_P^{-1} \frac{M^{-1}}{1 - \sqrt{3} M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})} \cos(\varphi_N - 2 M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N) \cos(\varphi_N + \frac{\pi}{6}) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} M^{-1} (1 + \sqrt{3} M^{-1} \sin(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})(1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6}))}
\]

(22)

\[
\varphi_N \in \left[ \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3} \right]: \quad i_{N,R,r} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} \delta_P^{-1} \frac{M^{-1}}{1 - \sqrt{3} M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N)} \cos(\varphi_N) + \frac{1}{2} M^{-1} \cos(2 \varphi_N + \frac{\pi}{6})
\]

(23)

The Fourier analysis of the mains current can be performed with the relations given in Section V-D.

The related local mean value \( i_{D,\text{avg},r} \) oscillating with \( 6 f_N \) (or the related local mean value of the output power \( P_{O,\text{avg},r} \equiv i_{D,\text{avg},r} \)) results in

\[
i_{D,\text{avg},r}(\varphi_N) = \frac{3}{8} \delta_P^{M^{-2}} \frac{M^{-1}}{1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N) \cos(2 \varphi_N + \frac{\pi}{6})}
\]

(25)

With good approximation we have

\[
i_{D,\text{avg},r} \approx \frac{3}{8} \delta_P^{M^{-2}} \frac{M^{-1}}{1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})}
\]

(26)

According to the system function the averaging of the converter input currents here has to be performed within the interval \( t_{\mu} \in [0, t_{\mu,3}] \) and not within \( t_P \). (As opposed to control methods I and III, there is no physical meaning of the frequency \( f_P \) which is included in the basis of the normalization, cf. (9).) For the defining equation of the local mean value we have therefore (by modifying (1))

\[
i_{U,(RST),\text{avg}}(\varphi_N) = \frac{1}{t_{\mu,3}} \int_{0}^{t_{\mu,3}} i_{U,(RST)}(t_{\mu}) \, dt_{\mu}.
\]

(33)

The mean value of \( i_{D,\text{avg},r} \) related to the fundamental (or the related output power \( P_{O,\text{r}} \approx I_{D,\text{avg},r} \)) becomes (27) (see (27) at the bottom of this page). Approximately we can write

\[
i_{D,\text{avg},r} \approx \frac{9}{2 \pi} \delta_P^{M^{-2}} \frac{M^{-1}}{\sqrt{M^2 - 1}} \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3} - 1}{\sqrt{3} + 1} \sqrt{\frac{M + 1}{M - 1}} - 1.
\]

(28)

This approximation being calculated based on (26) shows a relative error \( f_{D,r} = \pm 4.4\% \) for \( M = 1.1 \); for \( M = 1.5 \) we have \( f_{D,r} = \pm 2.4\% \) and for \( M = 2.0 \) \( f_{D,r} = \pm 1.6\% \). For larger values of the voltage transformation ratio a very good consistency of the estimate exists, therefore. With (28) there follows for the equivalent input resistance of the pulse rectifier system defined by (14)

\[
R_N \approx \frac{\pi}{6} \frac{L_U}{T_P} \delta_P^{M^{-2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - M^{-2}} \left( \cos^{-1} \frac{M + 1}{M - 1} \right)^{-1} - 1}.
\]

(29)

\( R_N \) is dependent on \( M \) due to the duration of the demagnetization interval being dependent on the difference between input and output voltage (cf. (20)).

B. Control Method II

For the shape of the mains currents we have for turning on \( T \) again in \( t_{\mu,3} \) (border of discontinuous mode) and for constant \( t_{\mu,1} \)

\[
\varphi_N \in \left[ 0, \frac{\pi}{6} \right]: \quad i_{N,R,\text{avg},r} = \frac{3}{8} \delta_P^{M^{-2}} \frac{M^{-1}}{1 + \sqrt{3} M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})}
\]

(30)

\[
\varphi_N \in \left[ \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{3} \right]: \quad i_{N,R,\text{avg},r} = \frac{3}{4} \delta_P^{M^{-1}} \cos(\varphi_N) - \frac{1}{2} M^{-1} \cos(2 \varphi_N + \frac{\pi}{6})
\]

(31)

\[
\varphi_N \in \left[ \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{5\pi}{6} \right]: \quad i_{N,R,\text{avg},r} = \frac{3}{4} \delta_P^{M^{-1}} \cos(\varphi_N) - \frac{1}{2} M^{-1} \sin(2 \varphi_N)
\]

(32)

According to the system function the averaging of the converter input currents here has to be performed within the interval \( t_{\mu} \in [0, t_{\mu,3}] \) and not within \( T_P \). (As opposed to control methods I and III, there is no physical meaning of the frequency \( f_P \) which is included in the basis of the normalization, cf. (9).) For the defining equation of the local mean value we have therefore (by modifying (1))

\[
i_{U,(RST),\text{avg}}(\varphi_N) = \frac{1}{t_{\mu,3}} \int_{0}^{t_{\mu,3}} i_{U,(RST)}(t_{\mu}) \, dt_{\mu}.
\]

(33)

\[
I_{D,\text{avg},r} \approx \frac{3}{2} \delta_P^{M^{-1}} \left[ \frac{M}{\sqrt{M^2 - 3}} \left( \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{M^2 - 3}} + \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3} - 1}{\sqrt{3} + 1} \frac{M - \sqrt{3}}{M^2 - 3} \right) + \frac{3M}{\sqrt{M^2 - 1}} \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3} - 1}{\sqrt{3} + 1} \sqrt{\frac{M + 1}{M - 1}} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} M^{-1} \right] - 1.
\]

(27)
Remark: For single-phase ac-dc boost converters being operated at the border to continuous mode we obtain a purely sinusoidal mains current for constant on-time of the power transistor [15]. In the case at hand there remains a gap $t_p \in [t_{\mu,2}, t_{\mu,3}]$ with $t_{U,S} = 0$ (in general, for that current which shows the lowest absolute value at $t_{\mu,1}$, cf. Fig. 5, right hand side). This is given despite of the fact that $T$ is switched on again immediately at the end of the demagnetization (at $t_{\mu,2}$). This gap leads especially in the vicinity of the zero crossings of a mains phase current to a deviation from a sinusoidal shape (cf. Fig. 6). This deviation is characteristic for three-phase single-switch converters. Therefore, low-frequency harmonics occur contrary to the case of the corresponding single-phase system.

The local mean value of the related output power $p_{O,avg,r} \equiv i_{D,avg,r}$ is calculated as

$$i_{D,avg,r} = \frac{3}{8} M^{-2} \delta_p \frac{1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N) \cos(2\varphi_N + \frac{\pi}{6})}{1 + \sqrt{3} M^{-1} \sin(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

A good approximation is given by

$$i_{D,avg,r} \approx \frac{3}{8} M^{-2} \delta_p.$$ \hspace{1cm} (35)

Regarding the accuracy of this approximation the same is valid as has been said in connection with (26).

For the average value of $i_{D,avg,r}$ referred to the fundamental, or for the related output power $P_{O,r} \equiv I_{D,avg,r}$ we receive (36), shown at the bottom of the page. According to (35) one can give the approximation

$$I_{D,avg,r} \approx \frac{3}{8} M^{-2} \delta_p.$$ \hspace{1cm} (37)

This approximation shows a relative error of $f_{I_{D,r}} \leq +4.0\%$ for $M \geq 1.1$; for $M \geq 1.5$ we have $f_{I_{D,r}} \leq +2.3\%$ and for $M \geq 2.0$ $f_{I_{D,r}} \leq +1.6\%$. With (37) we get for the equivalent input resistance

$$R_N \approx \frac{L_U}{T_P} \delta_p.$$ \hspace{1cm} (38)

Accordingly, $R_N$ is inverse proportional to the relative on-time of $T$. The output power $P_O$ of the converter then is defined in a first approximation only by the input voltage and $t_{\mu,1}$ contrary to control method I (cf. (29)). $R_N$ is not dependent on the actual value of $U_O$.

Remark: Equation (38) is valid in an analogous manner for the correspondingly controlled dc-dc boost converter where $M$ has to be defined by the ratio of output and input dc voltages.

C. Control Method III

For highly dynamical control of the output voltage or for time-constant local mean value $p_{O,avg,r} = P_{O,r}$ for constant

$$i_{D,avg,r} = P_{O,r}$$ \hspace{1cm} (39)

and for constant $f_P$ there follows via (25) for the relative turn-on time of $T$

$$\delta_P^2 = \frac{8}{3} M^2 P_{O,r} \left(1 + \sqrt{3} M^{-1} \sin(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})\right) \frac{1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})}{1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N) \cos(2\varphi_N + \frac{\pi}{6})}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (40)

($\delta_P$ has to be supplied by the controller $R(s)$, cf. Fig. 1). A good approximation is given by

$$\delta_P^2 \approx \frac{8}{3} M^2 P_{O,r} \left(1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})\right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (41)

As (26) and (35) also this approximation is obtained by the estimation

$$\left(1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N) \cos(2\varphi_N + \frac{\pi}{6})\right) \left(1 + \sqrt{3} M^{-1} \sin(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})\right)^{-1} \approx 1.$$ \hspace{1cm} (42)

For the accuracy the same is valid as has been said in connection with (26).

The shape of the input current becomes

$$\varphi_N \in [0, \frac{\pi}{6}]:$$

$$i_{N,avg,r} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} M P_{O,r} \frac{\cos(\varphi_N) - \frac{1}{2} M^{-1} \cos(2\varphi_N + \frac{\pi}{6})}{1 + M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6}) \cos(2\varphi_N + \frac{\pi}{6})}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (43)

$$\varphi_N \in [\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}]:$$

$$i_{N,avg,r} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} M P_{O,r} \frac{\cos(\varphi_N) \left(1 - M^{-1} \sin(\varphi_N)\right)}{1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6}) \sin(2\varphi_N)}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (44)

For $R_N$ we receive directly with (10) and (14)

$$R_N = \frac{3}{4} \frac{L_U}{T_P} M^{-2} P_{O,r}^{-1}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (45)

C. Control Method III

For highly dynamical control of the output voltage or for time-constant local mean value $p_{O,avg,r} = P_{O,r}$ or for constant

$$i_{D,avg,r} = P_{O,r}$$ \hspace{1cm} (39)

and for constant $f_P$ there follows via (25) for the relative turn-on time of $T$

$$\delta_P^2 = \frac{8}{3} M^2 P_{O,r} \left(1 + \sqrt{3} M^{-1} \sin(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})\right) \frac{1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})}{1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N) \cos(2\varphi_N + \frac{\pi}{6})}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (40)

($\delta_P$ has to be supplied by the controller $R(s)$, cf. Fig. 1). A good approximation is given by

$$\delta_P^2 \approx \frac{8}{3} M^2 P_{O,r} \left(1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})\right).$$ \hspace{1cm} (41)

As (26) and (35) also this approximation is obtained by the estimation

$$\left(1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N) \cos(2\varphi_N + \frac{\pi}{6})\right) \left(1 + \sqrt{3} M^{-1} \sin(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6})\right)^{-1} \approx 1.$$ \hspace{1cm} (42)

For the accuracy the same is valid as has been said in connection with (26).

The shape of the input current becomes

$$\varphi_N \in [0, \frac{\pi}{6}]:$$

$$i_{N,avg,r} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} M P_{O,r} \frac{\cos(\varphi_N) - \frac{1}{2} M^{-1} \cos(2\varphi_N + \frac{\pi}{6})}{1 + M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6}) \cos(2\varphi_N + \frac{\pi}{6})}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (43)

$$\varphi_N \in [\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}]:$$

$$i_{N,avg,r} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} M P_{O,r} \frac{\cos(\varphi_N) \left(1 - M^{-1} \sin(\varphi_N)\right)}{1 - M^{-1} \cos(\varphi_N - \frac{\pi}{6}) \sin(2\varphi_N)}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (44)

For $R_N$ we receive directly with (10) and (14)

$$R_N = \frac{3}{4} \frac{L_U}{T_P} M^{-2} P_{O,r}^{-1}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (45)

$$I_{D,avg,r} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_p \left[\frac{3}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{M^2 - 3}} + \tan^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3} - 1}{\sqrt{M^2 - 3}} \right.$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \log \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} M^{-1}\right) + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} M^{-2} + \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} M^{-1}\right) \left(1 \right.$$ \hspace{1cm} (36)
D. Fourier Analysis

According to the chosen position of the starting point \( \phi_N = 0 \) of the phase angles within the fundamental and to the assumptions regarding symmetry (cf. Section III-A) there follow the Fourier coefficients of the mains current via

\[
I_{N,(k),r} = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} i_N(r) \cos(k\phi_N) \, d\phi_N \quad (46)
\]

where \( k = 1, 5, 7, 11, 13, \ldots \).

For the amplitudes of the mains current harmonics we have

\[
\hat{I}_{N,(k),r} = |I_{N,(k),r}| \quad (47)
\]

The related fundamental of the mains current can be given directly by rating of the power balance equation (13) via

\[
\hat{I}_{N,(1),r} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} M P_o_r. \quad (48)
\]

E. Mains Filter

For the sake of brevity only a few important points for dimensioning of the mains filter (shown in Fig. 1 as simple single-stage type \( L_N, C_N \)) are given.

In general, dimensioning of \( L_N, C_N \) has to be performed such that the high frequency harmonics of \( u_{U,(RST)} \) are suppressed and that an increase of the low frequency harmonics is avoided. Therefore, the low frequency harmonics of \( i_{U,(RST)} \) basically are not influenced by the mains filter. They occur directly as mains current harmonics. Furthermore, the danger of excitation of the filter by ripple-control signals (e.g., 3 LC-stages) and a switching frequency \( f_p \) substantially increased as compared to higher stages contribute only to a relatively small degree to the overall damping. More than one stage is therefore to be favored regarding the decreasing sensitivity of the filter characteristic with respect to the mains impedance. As, e.g., described in [16] and [17], for high dynamics of the control loop one also has to include the input filter transfer function into the controller design.

Dimensioning of the filter with optimal damping and minimum weight and size in general is a very important aspect for the practical applicability of the system. This also is especially true because (as already evident by the high required damping) inclusion of volume and weight of the filter cuts the power density of the rectifier into about one half as compared to the case without filter. Therefore, also the filter cost will have a substantial influence on the overall system cost.

A reduction of the filtering effort can be achieved only by increasing \( f_p \). Connected herewith, the extension of three-phase single-switch discontinuous-mode boost rectifiers to quasiresonant operation (as discussed in [9] and [10]) seems to be of special importance. An alternative to increasing \( f_p \) is given by paralleling of several systems which are pulsed with shifted phases. This method becomes economically interesting only for higher output power, however.

F. Comparison of the Control Methods

As the comparisons given in Fig. 6 makes clear, all control methods show a quality of the mains current being significantly dependent on \( M \).

For \( M > 5 \) we have approximately purely sinusoidal current shape or a shape proportional to the mains voltage, respectively. Due to \( U_O \) being relatively high compared to \( \sqrt{3} U_N \) the demagnetization of \( U \) will need only a minor part of the pulse period. The current rise being proportional to \( u_{N,(RST)} \) within \( t_\mu \in [0, t_{\mu,1}] \) therefore leads to local mean values \( i_{U,(RST),av} \) being also largely proportional to \( u_{N,(RST)} \). This means also an approximate voltage-proportional shape of the mains currents \( i_{N,(RST)} \). Generally, one has to strive for \( U_O \) as high as possible therefore.

Due to \( U_O \) = 660 V ... 820 V being present for an operation of the system in the European mains especially \( M < 1.5 \) is of interest for a practical realization. For constant \( f_p \) and high dynamics of the control of \( u_O \) (control method III, cf. Fig. 6(c)) we obtain a reduction of the 5th harmonic of \( i_{N,(RST)} \) in the region \( M < 2.0 \) as compared to the operation with constant \( t_{\mu,1} \) (control method I, cf. Fig. 6(a)). However, this is connected with an increase of the 7th harmonic. When the system operates at the border of the discontinuous mode (control method II) there occurs a modulation of the duty cycle of \( T \) which is comparable to that given for constant \( P_{O,av} \) (cf. Fig. 7(b) and (c)). This results in an approximately similar shape of the dependency of the 5th and 7th harmonic on \( M \) (cf. Fig. 6(b) and (c)).

For \( M < 1.25 \) especially for control method I high amplitudes of the low frequency harmonics of \( i_{N,(RST)} \) occur. There, the current shape within a pulse period and the local current mean value are mainly determined by the demagnetization phase. Due to the only low difference between peak value of the line-to-line voltages and \( U_O \) the relative duration of the second part \( t_\mu \in [t_{\mu,2}, t_{\mu,3}] \) of the demagnetization interval is substantially increased as compared to higher \( U_O \). This results in a wide gap for that phase current which shows the smallest absolute value in \( t_{\mu,1} \). This gap finally causes the distortion of \( i_{N,(RST)} \). Furthermore, in this region of operation an extremely low utilization factor of \( T \) has to be observed. The converter application therefore should be limited to \( U_O > 3.0 U_{N,ins} \) independent of the selected control method.

VI. DIGITAL SIMULATION

The comparison of the results of the theoretical analysis performed in the previous section with a digital simulation (cf. Fig. 7) shows that the deviations are less than 1% for \( f_p \geq 500 f_N \). According to the assumptions of the analytical calculation ideal system behavior is also assumed for the digital simulation. Relative quantities like the mains current harmonics (rated to the fundamental) are influenced only in a very slight manner by these neglected. Therefore, the results of the analytical calculation allow a very accurate judgement of the mains behavior of the system.
Besides the shape of mains voltage \( u_{N,R} \) and mains current \( i_{N,R} \) Fig. 7 also represents the relative turn-on time \( \delta_p \) (for control methods I and III; cf. Fig. 7(a) and (c)) and the ratio \( \delta_p' = t_{\mu,1}/t_{\mu,3} \) which characterizes the time shape of the local pulse frequency given for control method II (cf. Fig. 7(b)).

Because the current gap \( t_{\mu} \in [t_{\mu,3}, T_P] \) is avoided if the system is operated at the border to continuous mode, for equal turn-on time \( t_{\mu,1} \) control method II leads to an increased output power \( P_O \) as compared to constant \( f_P \) (control method I). In general, a relatively small additional effort regarding the converter control circuit results in a better utilization of the components of the power circuit. Nevertheless it has to be kept in mind that this operating mode leads to very high switching frequencies in the region of small output power. Therefore, a pulse frequency limitation has to be provided especially for systems with high rated power.

Fig. 7(c) shows the relationships for highly dynamic output voltage control. Besides the control error the controller \( R(s) \) also amplifies the voltage ripple of the output capacitor \( C \). This leads for a given zero-crossing frequency of the open loop gain to a relatively wide high-frequency variation of the turn-on time which is approximately independent of the capacitance value of \( C \). For a practical realization a proper measurement filter has to be used, e.g., a measuring system which averages \( u_O \) over a pulse period.

Regarding the mains current spectrum the consistency between the digital simulation (cf. Fig. 7) and the analytical calculation (cf. Fig. 6) is determined essentially by the ratio of the pulse frequency \( f_P \) and the mains frequency \( f_N \), by the dimensioning of the mains filter and by the voltage transformation ratio \( M \).

According to Fig. 6 there occur high amplitudes of the low-frequency harmonics of the rectifier input current and/or of the mains current for low values of the voltage transformation ratio for all control methods. Therefore, in connection with the output impedance of the mains filter there results a (minor) low-frequency distortion of the filter output voltage. This deviation of the system input voltage (mains filter output voltage) from a sinusoidal shape as not considered in the analytical calculation leads to an influence of the mains current spectrum due to the current formation being linked directly to the input voltage. There, due to the system nonlinearity each voltage harmonic influences the amplitudes of all input current harmonics. E.g., the amplitude of the 7th harmonic of the rectifier input current is dependent (to a low extent) also on the 5th harmonic of the mains filter output voltage.

Therefore, the mains current harmonics as calculated by digital simulation (cf. Fig. 7) in connection with the investigation of the control methods deviate from the values as being calculated analytically for purely sinusoidal mains filter.
output voltage (or, by neglecting the mains filter). E.g., there follows for control method I for the dimensioning of the system assumed in connection with Fig. 7 a relative amplitude of the 7th harmonic $I_{N,(7)} = 0.012$ (cf. Fig. 7(a)); for purely sinusoidal shape of the filter output voltage we have $I_{N,(7)} = 0.009$ according to Fig. 6(a). However, a noticeable deviation as compared to the analytical calculation (cf. Fig. 6) remains limited to harmonics with relative low amplitudes $\leq 1\%$. Such the amplitude of the 5th rectifier input current harmonic (being more dominant than the 7th) is only marginally influenced; for digital simulation we receive $I_{N,(5)} = 0.118$ (cf. Fig. 7(a)), according to Fig. 6(a) we have $I_{N,(5)} = 0.120$. The time shape of the mains current is only marginally influenced, therefore.

Remark: In connection with the previous discussion we want to point out that the assumption of an ideal sinusoidal and impressed filter output voltage represents a basic assumption for the analytically closed calculation of the mains current shape. This approximation of the real conditions can be justified because it is not the aim of the analytical calculation to describe the system behavior in dependency on essentially dimensioning-dependent parameters. The aim is in reality to obtain a comparison and evaluation of different control methods based (for the sake of clearness) on largely idealized conditions. For practical system application also the generally substantially higher harmonic content of the mains voltage (caused by single-phase rectifier circuits of mass production apparatus) is added to the filter output voltage distortion as caused by low frequency rectifier input current harmonics (in the case at hand we have $U_{N,(5)} = 0.002$). The former leads to a further change of the amplitudes of the low-frequency current harmonics as compared to the values given in Fig. 6. (In the European low-voltage mains we have to consider typically voltage harmonics of $U_{N,(5)} = 0.045$ [18].) A direct numerical comparison of the harmonics of the mains current shape measured on a test circuit being operated at the mains (cf. Fig. 8) and of the results of the analytical calculation is not appropriate, therefore.

VII. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

As can be seen clearly from comparing Fig. 6 and Fig. 8, the theoretical considerations are consistent with the measurements on a laboratory model. For the sake of brevity, the representation of the measurements is limited to the converter operation with constant $f_p$ and constant $f_P$ (control method I).

For minimizing the system cost one has to investigate the possibility to realize the three-phase diode bridge as rectifier module. Fig. 8 shows the situation for using such a module and for using discrete diodes with low reverse recovery time as a three-phase bridge.

Due to the high reverse recovery time we experience a distortion of the diode bridge input currents, e.g., of $i_{U,R}$ (cf. Fig. 8(a)) if a rectifier module is applied. For high-speed diodes (cf. Fig. 8(b)) we have approximately ideal conditions. $i_{N,R}$ shows almost identical shape in both cases. The distortion of $i_{U,R}$ is limited to intervals of $\frac{\pi}{3}$ located symmetrical to the zero crossings of $i_{N,R}$. This can be explained by the fact that in this region a higher rate of decay of the current $i_{U,R}$ is encountered than in the rest of the mains period. In general, a higher rate of decay at the zero crossings of the input currents $i_{U,R}$ is given for that phase which shows the smallest absolute current value at $t_{\mu,1}$. As shown in Section IV for $\varphi_N \in [0, \frac{\pi}{6}]$, only the projection of $\mu_N - \mu_{U,[100]}$ is effective after the end of the first phase of demagnetization in $t_{\mu,2}$ (cf. Fig. 5). Therefore, the zero crossings of $i_{U,R}$ and $i_{U,T}$ in $t_{\mu,3}$ show a smaller rate of decay than the zero crossing of $i_{U,S}$ in $t_{\mu,2}$. For higher switching frequency the current distortion can be experienced to a higher degree also in the other regions of $\varphi_N$. Therefore, the diode bridge has to be realized with
discrete semiconductors also because the diode losses would rise significantly.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

As this paper shows, the effect on the mains of a three-phase single-switch discontinuous-mode boost rectifier system is essentially determined by the voltage transformation ratio and by the dynamics of the output voltage control. One has to point out that the results of the converter analysis given in this paper can be applied directly to the assessment of the main behavior of a three-phase single-switch Ćuk rectifier [9], [11] and of further converters with equal structure of the input section [9].

In connection with the limitation of the harmonics stress on public mains (as enforced by regulations and standards) three-phase single-switch pulse rectifiers will gain increased importance in the future because of the simple structure of the power and control circuit. For industrial application of the converter especially the optimal dimensioning of the mains filter will be of importance. This is also true for the minimization of the increase of the input current distortion occurring for mains unsymmetries. Related investigations are the topic of further research at present.
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